

Heat kernel asymptotics on sequences of elliptically degenerating Riemann surfaces

D. Garbin and J. Jorgenson

22 Feb 2016

*Dedicated to Dennis Hejhal,
on the occasion of his 67th belated happy birthday*

Abstract

This is the first of two articles in which we define an elliptically degenerating family of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and study the asymptotic behavior of the associated spectral theory. Our study is motivated by a result from [He 83], which Hejhal attributes to Selberg, proving spectral accumulation for the family of Hecke triangle groups. In this article, we prove various results regarding the asymptotic behavior of heat kernels and traces of heat kernels for both real and complex time. In [GJ 16], we will use the results from this article and study the asymptotic behavior of numerous spectral functions through elliptic degeneration, including spectral counting functions, Selberg's zeta function, Hurwitz-type zeta functions, determinants of the Laplacian, wave kernels, spectral projections, small eigenfunctions, and small eigenvalues. The method of proof we employ follows the template set in previous articles which study spectral theory on degenerating families of finite volume Riemann surfaces ([HJL 95], [HJL 97], [JoLu 97a], and [JoLu 97b]) and on degenerating families of finite volume hyperbolic three manifolds ([DJ 98]). Although the types of results developed here and in [GJ 16] are similar to those in existing articles, it is necessary to thoroughly present all details in the setting of elliptic degeneration in order to uncover all nuances in this setting.

Contents

0	Introduction	2
1	Geometry of elliptic degeneration	4
2	Regularized heat traces	7
3	Results from spectral theory and the heat equation	15
4	Analysis of elliptic heat trace	20
5	Convergence of regularized heat traces	26
6	Convergence of small eigenvalues and eigenfunctions	33
7	Uniform long time asymptotics	35
	Bibliography	39

0 Introduction

The last result stated by Hejhal in his monumental work [He 83] is a qualitative theorem, which he attributes to Selberg, concerning spectral accumulation at the bottom of the range of the continuous spectrum for the family of Hecke triangle groups. In brief, the present article is the first in a series of two papers in which we further generalize and quantify the Hejhal-Selberg theorem. Before describing our work, let us discuss the theorem from page 579 of [He 83] which serves as motivation for our study.

For any integer $N \geq 3$, consider the two matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\cos(\pi/N) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices generate a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, denoted by G_N , and called the Hecke triangle group. The group G_N acts on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$, and through elementary considerations one can show that the set

$$\{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathrm{Re}(z)| < \cos(\pi/N)\}$$

is a fundamental domain for $G_N \backslash \mathbb{H}$.

Let Δ denote the Laplacian associated to the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{H}$. We will assume knowledge of the spectral theory of the Laplacian acting on smooth functions on $G_N \backslash \mathbb{H}$, referring the reader to [He 83] and references therein for background material. In particular, we use that for each N , Weyl's law associated to the Laplacian consists of counting discrete eigenvalues of the Laplacian as well as poles of the determinant $\varphi_N(s)$ of the scattering matrix which is computed from the constant terms in the Fourier expansion of a non-holomorphic (parabolic) Eisenstein series. With this albeit brief description of background material, we can now state the Hejhal-Selberg result.

For any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$, there is an N_0 such that if $N > N_0$, the set $[1/2 - \delta, 1/2] \times [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$ will contain a pole of φ_N .

In words, the poles of φ_N are densely accumulating along the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1/2$.

At this time, there is a number of natural questions which one can pose. Firstly, can one further quantify the Hejhal-Selberg result beyond the assertion regarding accumulation of poles of φ_N ? Observe that the above stated fundamental domains converge, as N tends to infinity, to a region which is a fundamental domain for the discrete group generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Does the asymptotic behavior of the spectral theory of $G_N \backslash \mathbb{H}$, in whatever form, converge to the spectral theory of the limiting fundamental domain? Finally, is the Hejhal-Selberg theorem indicative of a general phenomena which exists for other sequences of geometric objects?

The purpose of this article and the subsequent paper [GJ 16] is to explore in detail these questions. We begin, in this article, by defining an elliptically degenerating family of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, one example of which is the sequence of Hecke triangle groups. In effect, an elliptically degenerating sequence is obtained by choosing a fixed hyperbolic Riemann surface M with a finite set of points $P_1, \dots, P_q$, and then we change the local coordinate at each point P_j from a complex variable z to z^{1/n_j} , and then we let each n_j approach infinity.

Having established the general setting which we will study, we then focus our attention to the heat kernel associated to the hyperbolic Laplacian Δ which acts on smooth functions on the underlying surface. In the present article, we prove a number of results corresponding to the asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel, and traces of the heat kernel, on an elliptically degenerating

family of Riemann surfaces. In addition to pointwise convergence results, we study the asymptotic behavior of the trace of the heat kernel for small time, large time, and complex time, and in each case we establish asymptotic expansions in the degenerating parameters with attention paid to uniformity issues. In the present article, we slightly deviate from the heat kernel itself by proving convergence of the small eigenvalues and small eigenvalues, and we do so in order to strengthen the convergence results we derive.

In the subsequent article [GJ 16], we use the heat kernel convergence results proved here to study the asymptotic behavior of numerous spectral functions through elliptic degeneration, including spectral counting functions, Selberg’s zeta function, Hurwitz-type zeta functions, determinants of the Laplacian, wave kernels, spectral projections, small eigenfunctions, and small eigenvalues. At that time, a corollary of our more general theorem will be a quantitative version, with error term, of the Hejhal-Selberg result, thus answering one of the questions posed above.

The method of proof we employ follows the template set in previous articles which study spectral theory on degenerating families of finite volume Riemann surfaces ([HJL 95], [HJL 97], [JoLu 97a], and [JoLu 97b]) and on degenerating families of finite volume hyperbolic three manifolds ([DJ 98]). Although the types of results developed here and in [GJ 16] are similar to those in existing articles, it is necessary to thoroughly present all details in the setting of elliptic degeneration in order to uncover all nuances in this setting. Additionally, we did not believe it would be “mathematically honest” to simply assert that the methodology of these articles applies in the setting of elliptically degenerating surfaces, so in addition to proving a translation of the method to the setting of elliptically degenerating surfaces, we felt it necessary to provide verification of details.

Aside from its interest within the field of spectral analysis, the problem of studying elliptically degenerating Riemann surfaces has manifested itself elsewhere. In [vP 10], von Pippich defined and studied elliptic Eisenstein series, ultimately proving analogues of the classical theorems, namely differential equation, meromorphic continuation, and Kronecker limit formula. In [GvP 09], the authors studied elliptic Eisenstein series through elliptic degeneration. One of their main result was to prove that certain elliptic Eisenstein series, when rescaled, converge to parabolic Eisenstein series through elliptic degeneration. We refer to [vP 10] and [GvP 09] for more precise statements and proofs.

Recently, Freixas i Montplet and von Pippich have undertaken a fascinating project involving elliptic degeneration. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ denote the moduli space of genus g hyperbolic Riemann surfaces with n marked points. The Takhtajan–Zograf form ω_{TZ} on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is constructed using (parabolic) Eisenstein series associated to the marked points. The relation of ω_{TZ} to the Weil–Petersson form ω_{WP} is given by a local index theorem which can be derived from an arithmetic Riemann–Roch isometry. In [FvP 11], the authors are studying an analogue of ω_{TZ} on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with n marked weighted points using elliptic Eisenstein series. Initially, they are striving towards an arithmetic Riemann–Roch isometry, the computation of the relative determinant of the Laplacian on an hyperbolic cone. Having established the isometry, in the sense of Arakelov theory, the authors plan to work toward showing a “consistency” with their work and previously established isometries by studying their identity through elliptic degeneration. Consequently, the significance of the analysis in the present paper goes beyond the applications we develop in [GJ 16].

The project of defining and studying hyperbolic spectral theory through elliptic degeneration was initiated in the early 1990’s by the second named author (JJ) when he was collaborating with R. Lundelius. Indeed, the project was referred to as “in preparation” in several previous publications. Unfortunately, the distinction of “in preparation” was very premature. By 1995, Lundelius left academic mathematics, and by 1997, Jorgenson had completed all aspects of papers which were published as jointly written with Lundelius. Approximately ten years later, the first named author (DG) developed the question of elliptic degeneration as part of his own investigations. The present article as well as [GJ 16] are the product of the consequent collaboration of the two authors of the articles.




Figure 1: The geometry of the hyperbolic cone C_q

1 Geometry of elliptic degeneration

In this section we will present the notion of elliptic degeneration of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. We will consider finite volume surfaces (compact or non-compact), having elliptic fixed points of finite order, i.e. surfaces with conical ends. Elliptic degeneration occurs when the orders of such elliptic fixed points are increasing without a bound: As these orders are running off to infinity, their corresponding cone angles approach zero. Alternatively, as elliptic elements of the fundamental group become parabolic, their corresponding conical ends turn into cusps.

Let M be a connected hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume, either compact or non-compact. For simplicity, let us assume that M is connected, so then M can be realized as the quotient manifold $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the hyperbolic upper half space and Γ is a discrete subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$.

Aside from the identity, the elements of Γ can be classified into three classes, according to the type of their fixed points when viewed as fractional linear transformations or equivalently to the value of their absolute trace if viewed as matrices. An element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is called hyperbolic, parabolic, or elliptic, if γ is conjugated in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ to a dilation, horizontal translation, or rotation respectively. This is analogous to $|\text{Tr}(\gamma)|$ being greater than, equal, or less than 2, respectively. Furthermore, an element γ is called primitive, if it is not a power other than ± 1 of any other element of the group. With this in mind, a primitive hyperbolic element γ is conjugated to $\begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell_\gamma/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\ell_\gamma/2} \end{pmatrix}$, where ℓ_γ is the length of the simple closed geodesic on the surface M in the homotopy class of γ . A primitive parabolic element γ is conjugated to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_\gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where w_γ denotes the width of the cusp fixed by γ , while a primitive elliptic element γ is conjugated to $\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi/q_\gamma) & \sin(\pi/q_\gamma) \\ -\sin(\pi/q_\gamma) & \cos(\pi/q_\gamma) \end{pmatrix}$, where $2\pi/q_\gamma$ is the angle of the conical point fixed by γ . The positive integer q_γ is the order of the centralizer subgroup of the elliptic element γ . We will say that the corresponding elliptic fixed point has order q_γ .

For a given positive integer q , let C_q denote the infinite hyperbolic cone of angle $2\pi/q$. One can realize C_q as a half-infinite cylinder

$$C_q = \{(\rho, \theta) : \rho > 0, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\} . \quad (1.1)$$

equipped with a smooth metric such that the length of meridians of constant ρ , which we denote here by $\ell(\rho)$, goes to zero as ρ approaches zero (see Fig. 1). Consider the Riemannian metric given by

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + q^{-2} \sinh^2(\rho) d\theta^2 . \quad (1.2)$$

It easily follows that $\ell(\rho) = \frac{2\pi}{q} \sinh(\rho)$. The notion of angle is defined as the rate of change in the length of the circle with respect to the change in distance near $\rho = 0$, namely

$$\lim_{\rho_1 \rightarrow 0} \left[\lim_{\rho_2 \rightarrow \rho_1} \frac{\ell(\rho_2) - \ell(\rho_1)}{d(\rho_2, \rho_1)} \right] ,$$

provided that the limit exists. That $d(\rho_2, \rho_1) = |\rho_2 - \rho_1|$, implies that C_q is an infinite hyperbolic cone of angle $2\pi/q$ with apex at $\rho = 0$. It is elementary to show that the volume form of the




Figure 2: The elliptic degeneration of a hyperbolic cone into a cusp

manifold C_q is given by

$$d\mu = q^{-1} \sinh(\rho) d\rho d\theta. \quad (1.3)$$

A fundamental domain for C_q in the hyperbolic unit disc model is provided by a sector with vertex at the origin and with angle $2\pi/q$. In coordinates, we write $\{\alpha \exp(i\phi) : 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi/q\}$. The hyperbolic metric on C_q is the metric induced onto the fundamental domain viewed as a subset of the unit disc endowed with its complete hyperbolic metric. The isotropy subgroup which corresponds to this fundamental domain consists of the numbers $\exp(2\pi ik/q)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ acting by multiplication. A direct computation shows if $z = (\rho, \theta)$ and γ_q denotes a generator of the fundamental group of C_q , then

$$\cosh d(z, \gamma_q^n z) = 1 + 2 \sin^2(\pi n/q) \sinh^2(\rho). \quad (1.4)$$

Let $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ denote the submanifold of C_q obtained by restricting the first coordinate of (ρ, θ) to $0 \leq \rho < \cosh^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon q/2\pi)$. A fundamental domain for $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ in the unit disc model is obtained by adding the restriction that $\alpha < (\varepsilon q/(4\pi + \varepsilon q))^{1/2}$. An elementary calculation shows that the volume of this manifold $\text{vol}(C_{q,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$, and the length of the boundary of $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ is $(4\pi\varepsilon/q + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}$. For $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ one can show that the length between the boundaries of the two nested cones C_{q,ε_1} and C_{q,ε_2} is

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(\partial C_{q,\varepsilon_1}, \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_2}) = \log \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2 q + 2\pi + \sqrt{\varepsilon_2 q(4\pi + \varepsilon_2 q)}}{\varepsilon_1 q + 2\pi + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 q(4\pi + \varepsilon_1 q)}} \right).$$

Let C_∞ denote an infinite cusp. A fundamental domain for C_∞ in the upper half plane is given by the set $\{x + iy : y > 0, 0 < x < 1\}$. A fundamental domain for C_∞ in the upper half plane is obtained by identifying the boundary points iy with $1 + iy$. The isotropy subgroup that corresponds to the above fundamental domain consists of $\mathbb{Z}$ acting by addition. As before, let $C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$ denote the submanifold of C_∞ obtained by restricting the y coordinate of the fundamental domain given above to $y > 2\varepsilon$. Easy computations show that $\text{vol}(C_{\infty,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon/2$, and the length of the boundary of $C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$ is also $\varepsilon/2$.

Following Section 2 of [Ju 98], we are now ready to define the concept of elliptic degeneration. In its quintessential form, elliptic degeneration turns a cone of finite order q into a cone of infinite order, i.e. a cusp (see Fig. 2). To view this, we realize the positive angle cone C_q as the half-infinite cylinder $\{(x, y) : x \in [0, 1), y \in (0, \infty)\}$, by changing the (ρ, θ) coordinates in (1.1) as $\theta = 2\pi x$ and $\rho = 2 \tanh^{-1}(e^{-\alpha y})$, where $\alpha = 2\pi/q$. In (x, y) coordinates, C_q is a cone of angle $\alpha = 2\pi/q$ with apex at $y = \infty$, equipped with the Riemannian metric

$$ds_q^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{\alpha^{-2} \sinh^2(\alpha y)}.$$




Figure 3: Elliptic degeneration of q_1 and q_2

As the order q goes to infinity, or equivalently as the angle α goes to zero, the cone C_q turns into the cusp C_∞ with metric given by

$$ds_\infty^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}.$$

To turn several cones into cusps, we proceed as follows. Let $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m)$ be a vector of the orders of elliptic fixed points. In this case we define $C_q = \cup_{k=1}^m C_{q_k}$. We similarly define $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ as a union over the components of q . We say that the vector q approaches infinity if and only if each of its components approach infinity. Consequently, the Riemannian manifold C_q (with m connected components) converges to m copies of the limit Riemannian manifold C_∞ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ converges to m copies of $C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$. We shall write these limits as $m \times C_\infty$ and $m \times C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$.

With these in mind, let us make the following definition.

Definition 1.1. A family of finite volume hyperbolic surfaces M_q parametrized by the m -vector q will be called an elliptically degenerating surface if it has the following properties (see Fig. 3):

- a) For any $\varepsilon < 1/2$, the surface $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ (with m components) embeds isometrically into M_q .
- b) As $q \rightarrow \infty$, M_q converges to a complete, hyperbolic surface M_∞ in the following sense. The surface M_∞ contains m embedded copies of $C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$ which is the limit of $C_{q,\varepsilon} \subset M_q$. The geometry of $M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}$ converges to the geometry of $M_\infty \setminus (m \times C_{\infty,\varepsilon})$.

Remark 1.2. In the above definition, $m \times C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$ refers to the “new” cusps of M_∞ , that is, the cusps which developed from degeneration. In particular, for every q , it is possible to identify points $x(q)$ and $y(q)$ on $M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}$ such that $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} d_q(x(q), y(q)) = d_\infty(x(\infty), y(\infty))$. Henceforth, we shall suppress the q dependence of points which are identified during degeneration and simply write x and y . The volume forms induced by the converging metrics also converge uniformly on $M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}$, and all such measures are absolutely continuous with respect to each other. In general, the hyperbolic volume form occurring in an integral will be denoted by $d\mu$ with an appropriate subscript when needed (for example, $d\mu_q$). Length measure will be denoted by $d\rho$.

The description of the degeneration of M_q to the limit surface M_∞ also applies to the degeneration of C_q and $C_{q,\delta}$ (with $\varepsilon < \delta$) to their limit surfaces, $m \times C_\infty$ and $m \times C_{\infty,\delta}$ respectively.

The next result is the main theorem of [Ju 98].

Proposition 1.3. Let M be a surface with Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$, having one conical neighborhood E . Let $[g]$ be a pointwise conformal class of hyperbolic metrics on M . For each number $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi(1 - \chi(M))]$, let g_α be the unique hyperbolic metric on M such that (E, g_α) is a hyperbolic cone of angle α . Define the conformal factor $w_\alpha : M \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ where $g_\alpha = e^{w_\alpha} g_0$. Then the map $\alpha \mapsto w_\alpha \in C^\infty(M)$ is real analytic.

Idea of proof. Consider hyperbolic metrics g on M for which (E, g) embeds into $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times (0, \infty), m_\alpha)$, where the Riemannian metric is given by

$$m_\alpha = \frac{d\theta^2 + dy^2}{\alpha^{-2} \sinh^2(\alpha y)},$$

that is an infinite volume hyperbolic cone of angle α .

By the Gauss-Bonnet formula and the negativity of the curvature, it follows that $\alpha - 2\pi + 2\pi\chi(M) < 0$. In [McO 88], the author shows that this inequality implies the existence of such a metric g which is determined by its conformal structure and the angle α . This gives a bijection between the interval $[0, 2\pi(1-\chi(M))]$ and the set of conical hyperbolic metrics in a given conformal class, by sending α to g_α .

Fix an angle α_0 in the above interval. Consider the conformal factor $w_\alpha : M \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, satisfying $g_\alpha = e^{2w_\alpha} g_{\alpha_0}$. The map w_α is then part of the zero set of a carefully chosen elliptic second order differential operator between Banach spaces whose Frechet derivative has bounded inverse. The implicit function theorem between Banach spaces is used to show that the map $\alpha \mapsto w_\alpha$ is real analytic. $\square$

Remark 1.4. The above proposition states that given a finite volume hyperbolic surface M_∞ with p cusps, there exists a family of hyperbolic surfaces $\{M_q\}$, with $p - m$ cusps indexed by the m -tuple q such that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} M_q = M_\infty$$

2 Regularized heat traces

In this section we establish integral representations for the hyperbolic and elliptic heat traces for complex valued time. We then define what we call a regularized trace of the heat kernel. If the hyperbolic Riemann surface is compact, then the regularized trace must agree with the trace of the heat kernel. The non-compact case comes with parabolic elements whose contribution to the trace is unbounded. In such case, we need to subtract the contribution of the parabolic elements, i.e. to regularize the trace of the heat kernel. The section ends with two remarks. The first remark relates our expression for the elliptic heat trace with the expression that is most common in the literature ([Ku 73], [He 76].) The second remark presents in brief how the results in this section lead to the Selberg trace formula. The staples of this section are the periodization of the heat kernel on a hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume together with the integral expression for the heat kernel in the upper half plane.

Let Δ_M denote the Laplace operator on the surface M . Consider the heat operator $\Delta_M + \partial_t$ acting on functions $f : M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ which are $C^2(M)$ and $C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then the heat kernel associated to M is the minimal integral kernel which inverts the heat operator. Namely, the heat kernel is a function $K_M : \mathbb{R} \times M \times M \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions. For any bounded function $f \in C^2(M)$ consider the integral transform

$$u(t, x) = \int_M K_M(t, x, y) f(y) d\mu_M(y) .$$

Then the following differential and initial time conditions are met

$$\Delta_x u + \partial_t u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(t, x) .$$

In this paper we consider hyperbolic surfaces having conical singularities (see [Ju 95]), surfaces realized as the action of discrete groups Γ of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$. The conical singularities are present once the group Γ contains elements (other than the identity) having fixed points. Such is the case with the full modular group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, let M be a compact hyperbolic surface, having n marked points $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$. The Riemannian metric g on M is called conically singular hyperbolic metric if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ there exists a chart (U_i, μ_i) about the point c_i isometrically mapping U_i to a hyperbolic cone model with associated angle α_i . The metric g induces a compatible complex structure on $M \setminus \{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and together with the charts $\{(U_i, \mu_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, provide a complex structure on M . Given such structure, there exist a unique complete hyperbolic metric on $M \setminus \{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for which each c_i is a cusp.

As the surfaces in consideration have conical points, there is a way to extend the domain on which the Laplace operator acts so that it is self-adjoint. The Friedrichs procedure is one

such possible extension and we use it here for our spectral purposes. Namely, the domain of the extension is the closure in $L^2(M)$ of the space

$$D = \left\{ f \in L^2(M) : \int_M (\langle \text{grad} f, \text{grad} f \rangle + f^2) d\mu < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\partial \text{cusp}} f d\mu = 0 \right\}$$

where $d\mu$ denotes the hyperbolic volume form and the domain of integration for the second integral is a horocycle. For details of the above construction we refer the reader to [LP 76], [CdV 83], [Ju 95], and [Ji 94]. Throughout this paper, we will refer to the pseudo-Laplacian above as simply the Laplace operator.

If M is compact, then the spectrum of the (non-negative) Laplace operator is discrete, consisting of eigenvalues $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ counted with multiplicity. Associated to these eigenvalues there is complete system $\{\phi_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of orthonormal eigenfunction of the Laplace operator on M . For $t > 0$ and $x, y \in M$, the heat kernel has the following realization

$$K_M(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y), \quad (2.1)$$

and the sum converges uniformly on $[t_0, \infty) \times M \times M$ for fixed $t_0 > 0$ (see for instance [Ch 84].)

If M is not compact, the spectrum has a discrete part as well as a continuous part in the real interval $[1/4, \infty]$. The continuous spectrum comes from the parabolic Eisenstein series $E_{\text{par}; M, P}(s, z)$ associated to the each cusp P of M . In such case, the spectral expansion has the following form (coming from [He 83])

$$K_M(t, x, y) = \sum_{\text{discrete}} e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\text{cusps } P} \int_0^\infty e^{-(1/4+r^2)t} E_{\text{par}; M, P}(1/2 + ir, x) \overline{E_{\text{par}; M, P}(1/2 + ir, y)} dr. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ denote the heat kernel on the upper half plane. Recall that $K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ is a function of t and the hyperbolic distance $d = d_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ between $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$, so

$$K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, d_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})).$$

Quoting from page 246 of [Ch 84], we have for $d > 0$

$$K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \rho) = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \int_\rho^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4t} du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - \cosh \rho}} \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-(1/4+r^2)t} \tanh(\pi r) r dr. \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2.1. It is possible to extend the heat kernel from real valued time to complex valued time. For the complex valued time $z = t + is$ with $t > 0$ we have

$$K_{\mathbb{H}}(z, d) = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-z/4}}{(4\pi z)^{3/2}} \int_d^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4z} du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - \cosh d}}$$

To see that this makes sense set $\tau = |z|^2/t$ which is clearly positive. Then we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{\mathbb{H}}(z, d)| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi)^{3/2}(t^2 + s^2)^{3/4}} \int_d^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4\tau} du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - \cosh d}} \\ &\leq e^{s^2/4t} t^{-3/2} (t^2 + s^2)^{3/4} K_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau, d). \end{aligned}$$

For any hyperbolic Riemann surface $M \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, one can express the heat kernel as a periodization of the heat kernel of the hyperbolic plane. Let x and y denote points on M with lifts $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ to $\mathbb{H}$. Then we can write the heat kernel on M as

$$K_M(t, x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, d_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{x}, \gamma \tilde{y})). \quad (2.5)$$

Denote by $H(\Gamma)$, $P(\Gamma)$, and $E(\Gamma)$ complete sets of Γ -inconjugate primitive hyperbolic, parabolic, and elliptic elements, respectively, of the group Γ . If M is compact, then $P(\Gamma)$ is empty. Let Γ_{γ} denote the centralizer of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If γ is a hyperbolic or a parabolic element then Γ_{γ} is isomorphic to the infinite cyclic group. If γ is elliptic then its centralizer is isomorphic to the finite cyclic group of order q_{γ} . In each instance, the centralizer is generated by a primitive element. We can use elementary theory of Fuchsian groups (see for instance [McK 72]) and decompose the group Γ into conjugacy classes as follows. Given any hyperbolic or parabolic element η , there exist a primitive element γ in $H(\Gamma)$ or $P(\Gamma)$ respectively, and a unique positive integer n , such that η belongs to the conjugacy class $\{\kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa : \kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma\}$. For a given elliptic element η , there exist a primitive element $\gamma \in E(\Gamma)$ and a unique integer $1 \leq n < q_{\gamma}$, such that η belongs to the conjugacy class $\{\kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa : \kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma\}$. With these in mind, we can write the periodization (2.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} K_M(t, x, y) &= K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \sum_{\gamma \in P(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa\tilde{y}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa\tilde{y}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_{\gamma}-1} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa\tilde{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above decomposition we define the parabolic contribution (i.e. the contribution coming from the parabolic elements) to the trace of the heat kernel by

$$PK_M(t, x) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa\tilde{x})$$

and in a similar manner we define the hyperbolic contribution and elliptic contribution which we denote by $HK_M(t, x)$ and $EK_M(t, x)$ respectively.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a connected, hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume with p cusps and m elliptic fixed points.*

(a) *For each $t > 0$, the sum*

$$HK_M(t, x) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1}\gamma^n\kappa\tilde{x})$$

is a well-defined function of $x \in M$.

(b) *For $\gamma \in H(\Gamma)$ denote by C_{γ} the infinite volume hyperbolic cylinder which is realized as $\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Then we have the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HTr} K_M(t) &= \int_M HK_M(t, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \int_{C_{\gamma}} (K_{C_{\gamma}} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

(c) For each $t > 0$, the sum

$$EK_M(t, x) = \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1} \gamma^n \kappa \tilde{x})$$

is a well-defined function of $x \in M$.

(d) For $\gamma \in E(\Gamma)$ denote by C_γ the infinite volume hyperbolic cone which is realized as $\Gamma_\gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Then we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ETr} K_M(t) &= \int_M EK_M(t, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \int_{C_\gamma} (K_{C_\gamma} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that parts (c) and (d) are the “elliptic version” of the first two parts and follow the same proof pattern. For details for parts (a) and (b) see Theorem 1.1 of [JoLu 97b]. $\square$

Definition 2.3. Let us define the regularized or standard heat trace for the connected hyperbolic surface M as

$$\text{STr} K_M(t) = \text{HTr} K_M(t) + \text{ETr} K_M(t) + \text{vol}(M) K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0).$$

If M is a hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume, but not connected, let $M_1, \dots, M_n$ denote the connected components, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HTr} K_M(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \text{HTr} K_{M_j}(t) & \text{ETr} K_M(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \text{ETr} K_{M_j}(t) \\ \text{STr} K_M(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \text{STr} K_{M_j}(t) \end{aligned}$$

The following result due to Selberg [Se 56] evaluates the integral representation stated in Theorem 2.2 part (b).

Theorem 2.4. Let M be a connected, hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume with p cusps and m elliptic fixed points. Let ℓ_γ be the length of the geodesic in the homotopy class determined by $\gamma \in H(\Gamma)$. Then the hyperbolic trace of the heat kernel is given by

$$\text{HTr} K_M(t) = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell_\gamma}{\sinh(n\ell_\gamma/2)} e^{-(n\ell_\gamma)^2/4t}.$$

Proof. For details see Theorem 1.3 of [JoLu 97b]. $\square$

The following result evaluates the integral representation stated in Theorem 2.2 part (d).

Theorem 2.5. Let M be a connected, hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume with p cusps and m elliptic fixed points. For each $\gamma \in E(\Gamma)$ denote by q_γ the order of the finite cyclic group Γ_γ generated by γ . Denote by C_{q_γ} the infinite hyperbolic cone associated to γ which is realized as $\Gamma_\gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Then the elliptic trace of the heat kernel is given by

$$\text{ETr} K_M(t) = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \frac{1}{q_\gamma} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2/4t} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q_\gamma)} du.$$

Proof. We start by unfolding the integral representing the elliptic heat trace, so that the integration will take place over each cone C_{q_γ} . That is, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ETr} K_M(t) &= \int_M \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \backslash \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, z, \kappa^{-1} \gamma^n \kappa z) d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \kappa z, \gamma^n \kappa z) d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \int_{C_{q_\gamma}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, z, \gamma^n z) d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed by computing the inner integral. In doing so, it will be convenient to write q instead of q_γ and call the inner integral I . Using the hyperbolic polar coordinates as described by equation (1.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{C_q} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, d(z, \gamma^n z)) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, d(z, \gamma^n z)) q^{-1} \sinh(\rho) d\rho d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Using the representation for the heat kernel on the upper half plane as in equation (2.3), we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \int_{d(z, \gamma^n z)}^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4t} du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - \cosh d(z, \gamma^n z)}} q^{-1} \sinh(\rho) d\rho d\theta \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{2\pi}{q} \int_0^\infty \int_{d(z, \gamma^n z)}^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4t} du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - \cosh d(z, \gamma^n z)}} \sinh(\rho) d\rho \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from integrating with respect to the θ variable.

Referring to equation (1.4), let $a(n, q, \rho) = d(z, \gamma^n z) = \cosh^{-1}(1 + 2\sin^2(n\pi/q) \sinh^2(\rho))$. Then we have

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{2\pi}{q} \int_0^\infty \int_{a(n, q, \rho)}^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4t} du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 - 2\sin^2(n\pi/q) \sinh^2(\rho)}} \sinh(\rho) d\rho.$$

Now make the following change of variables $x = \cosh(\rho)$, so then $dx = \sinh(\rho) d\rho$ and the limits of integration change to 1 and ∞ respectively. Using that $\sinh^2(\rho) = x^2 - 1$, we can further write

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{2\pi}{q} \int_1^\infty \int_{b(n, q, x)}^\infty \frac{ue^{-u^2/4t} du dx}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 - 2\sin^2(n\pi/q)(x^2 - 1)}}$$

where $b(n, q, x) = \cosh^{-1}(1 + 2\sin^2(n\pi/q)(x^2 - 1))$.

We proceed by interchanging the limits of integration. As such, note that the variable u will range from 0 to ∞ and the variable x from 1 to $c(n, q, u)$, the latter being defined by

$$c(n, q, u) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\cosh u - 1}{2\sin^2(n\pi/q)}}$$

which comes from solving $\cosh u - 1 - 2\sin^2(n\pi/q)(x^2 - 1) = 0$ in terms of x . Thus, we have that

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{2\pi}{q} \int_0^\infty \int_1^{c(n, q, u)} \frac{ue^{-u^2/4t} dx du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 - 2\sin^2(n\pi/q)(x^2 - 1)}}.$$

We can now compute the integral with respect to x . In doing so we will use the integration formula

$$\int_a^b \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\alpha - \beta x^2}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cos^{-1} \left(x \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \right) \Big|_{x=a}^{x=b}.$$

With $\alpha = \cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)$ and $\beta = 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)$ we get that the inner integral equals

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi/q)} \left[\cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\cosh u - 1}{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi/q)} \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

since the first term in the brackets equals $\cos^{-1}(1) = 0$. Then we can write

$$I = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{2\pi}{q \sin(n\pi/q)} \int_0^\infty u e^{-u^2/4t} \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) du.$$

Noting that $-2t\partial_u(e^{-u^2/4t}) = u e^{-u^2/4t}$ we proceed by integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{2\pi(-2t)}{q \sin(n\pi/q)} \int_0^\infty d(e^{-u^2/4t}) \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) du \\ &= -\frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{q \sin(n\pi/q)} \left[e^{-u^2/4t} \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) \Big|_{u=0}^{u=\infty} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^\infty e^{-u^2/4t} \frac{d}{du} \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) du \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term inside the brackets evaluates to 0 at both limits of integration. This leaves us with

$$I = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{q \sin(n\pi/q)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u^2/4t} \frac{d}{du} \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) du.$$

Using the formulas $\cosh u - 1 = 2 \sinh^2(u/2)$ and $\sinh u = 2 \sinh(u/2) \cosh(u/2)$ we get that

$$\frac{d}{du} \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(n\pi/q)}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\sin(n\pi/q) \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)}$$

which we then plug into the above integral to get

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{e^{-t/4}}{2q\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2/4t} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)} du \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/4}}{q\sqrt{16\pi t}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2/4t} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)} du. \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.6. The literature on the Selberg trace formula (see for example [He 76] on page 351 or [Ku 73] on pages 100-102), often gives the following expression for the elliptic heat trace

$$\text{ETr} K_M(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \frac{e^{-t/4}}{2q_\gamma \sin(n\pi/q_\gamma)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{-2\pi n r/q_\gamma - t r^2}}{1 + e^{-2\pi r}} dr \quad (2.6)$$

whereas our computations in Theorem 2.5 show that

$$\text{ETr} K_M(t) = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \frac{1}{q_\gamma} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2/4t} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q_\gamma)} du.$$

To see that the two different representations are equal we use Parseval formula. Recall that the Fourier transform $\hat{f}(u)$ of a function $f(r) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\hat{f}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(r) e^{-iur} dr ,$$

where the choice of the normalizing constant is consistent with the work of [He 76] and [He 83]. Consider the integral in equation (2.6) above which we write as

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-tr^2} \frac{e^{-2\pi nr/q}}{1 + e^{-2\pi r}} dr$$

where for simplicity of notation we have placed q in lieu of q_γ . Set

$$f(r) = e^{-tr^2} \text{ and } g(r) = \frac{e^{-2\pi nr/q}}{1 + e^{-2\pi r}} .$$

It immediately follows that

$$\hat{f}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-u^2/4t} .$$

To compute the Fourier transform of $g(r)$, namely

$$\hat{g}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{-(2\pi n/q + iu)r}}{1 + e^{-2\pi r}} dr ,$$

we set $h(z) = \frac{e^{-(2\pi n/q + iu)z}}{1 + e^{-2\pi z}}$ and note that $h(z)$ has simple poles at $z = \frac{i}{2}(2m+1)$, $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$, with residue $(2\pi)^{-1} (e^{-i(\pi n/q + iu/2)})^{2m+1}$. By integrating $h(z)$ over the standard upper semicircle contour and using the residue theorem, we then obtain

$$\hat{g}(u) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \left(e^{-i(\pi n/q + iu/2)} \right)^{2m+1} .$$

Recall that for $|z| < 1$ we have $\sum_{m=0}^\infty z^{2m+1} = \frac{z}{1-z^2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{z^{-1} - z}$. Assuming initially that $u < 0$, with $z = e^{-i(\pi n/q + iu/2)}$, we then arrive at

$$\hat{g}(u) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{2i}{e^{i(\pi n/q + iu/2)} - e^{-i(\pi n/q + iu/2)}} = \frac{\csc(n\pi/q + iu/2)}{4\pi} .$$

Using Parseval formula (see formula (13) on page 202 of [Ru 74]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(r) \overline{g(r)} dr = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty \hat{f}(u) \overline{\hat{g}(u)} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-u^2/4t} \overline{\csc(n\pi/q + iu/2)} du , \end{aligned}$$

where the 2π factor on the Fourier transform side is due to the choice of normalization in the definition of the Fourier transform. Using that $\sin z = \sin(x + iy) = \sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \csc(x + iy) &= \frac{1}{\sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y} \\ &= \frac{\sin x \cosh y}{\sinh^2 y + \sin^2 x} - i \cdot \frac{\cos x \sinh y}{\sinh^2 y + \sin^2 x} . \end{aligned}$$

With $x = n\pi/q$ and $y = u/2$ we can write

$$I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/4t} \frac{\sin(n\pi/q) \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)} du \\ + \frac{i}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/4t} \frac{\cos(n\pi/q) \sinh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)} du.$$

Note that the first integrand in the right hand side above is an even function in the variable of integration whereas the second integrand is odd. As such, the second integral equals to zero and we can write

$$I = \frac{2 \sin(n\pi/q)}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u^2/4t} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)} du.$$

Finally, substituting the above in equation (2.6) yields the integral representation of $\text{ETr} K_M(t)$ as it appears in Theorem 2.5.

Remark 2.7. In the case M is compact, the standard trace $\text{STr} K_M(t)$ is simply the trace of the heat kernel. One immediately obtains from (2.1) the spectral aspect of the standard trace,

$$\text{STr} K_M(t) = \int_M K_M(t, x, x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n t}. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, the results in this section, namely Definition 2.3, integral representations (2.4) and (2.6), and Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, give the geometric interpretation of the standard trace, namely

$$\text{STr} K_M(t) = \frac{\text{vol}(M)}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(r^2+1/4)t} \tanh(\pi r) r dr \\ + \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell_{\gamma}}{\sinh(n\ell_{\gamma}/2)} \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{16\pi t}} e^{-(n\ell_{\gamma})^2/4t} \\ + \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_{\gamma}-1} \frac{e^{-t/4}}{2q_{\gamma} \sin(n\pi/q_{\gamma})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi n r/q_{\gamma} - tr^2}}{1 + e^{-2\pi r}} dr. \quad (2.8)$$

The combination of (2.7) and (2.8) represent an instance of the Selberg trace formula as applied to the function $f(r) = e^{-tr^2}$ and its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(u) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-u^2/4t}$.

One can use this special case to generalize the trace formula to a larger class of functions. First, denote by r_n the solutions to $\lambda_n = 1/4 + r_n^2$. The non-negativity of the eigenvalues imply that for each n there are two solutions r_n which are either opposite real numbers or complex conjugate numbers in the segment $[-i/2, i/2]$.

To continue, let $h(t)$ be any measurable function for which $h(t)e^{(1/4+\varepsilon)t}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Multiply the right-hand side of (2.7) and (2.8) by $h(t)e^{t/4}$ and integrate from 0 to ∞ with respect to t . Set

$$H(r) = \int_0^{\infty} h(t) e^{-r^2 t} dt.$$

By rewriting the absolute integrand of $H(r)$ as $|h(t)e^{(1/4+\varepsilon)t}| \cdot |e^{-(r^2+1/4+\varepsilon)t}|$ and recalling the imposed conditions on $h(t)$, it easily follows that $H(r)$ is analytic inside the horizontal strip $|\text{Im}(r)| \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon'$ for some $\varepsilon' > 0$ depending on ε . The Fourier transform of $H(r)$ has the form

$$\hat{H}(u) = \int_0^{\infty} h(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-u^2/4t} dt.$$

Putting these facts together yields the Selberg trace formula in the compact case, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r_n} H(r_n) &= \frac{\text{vol}(M)}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(r) \tanh(\pi r) r dr \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell_{\gamma}}{2 \sinh(n\ell_{\gamma}/2)} \hat{H}(n\ell_{\gamma}) \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma \in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_{\gamma}-1} \frac{1}{2q_{\gamma} \sin(n\pi/q_{\gamma})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(r) \frac{e^{-2\pi nr/q_{\gamma}}}{1 + e^{-2\pi r}} dr, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where the sum on the left is taken over $r_n \in (0, \infty) \cup [0, i/2]$. We note that (2.9) above agrees with the formula in Theorem 5.1 of [He 76], with χ being the trivial character of the group Γ .

In the case M is non-compact, the regularized trace equals the trace of the heat kernel minus the contribution of the parabolic conjugacy classes. While the geometric side of the regularized trace is precisely as in (2.8), the spectral side has the following presentation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{STr} K_M(t) &= \sum_{C(M)} e^{-\lambda_n t} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(r^2+1/4)t} \frac{\phi'}{\phi} (1/2 + ir) dr \\ &+ \frac{p}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(r^2+1/4)t} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1 + ir) dr \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \left(p - \text{Tr } \Phi(1/2) \right) e^{-t/4} + \frac{p \log 2}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-t/4}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $C(M)$ denotes a set of eigenvalues associated to L^2 eigenfunctions on M , $\phi(s)$ the determinant of the scattering matrix $\Phi(s)$, $\Gamma(s)$ the Euler gamma function, while p the number of cusps of M (see page 313 of [He 83]).

One can use the same argument as in the compact case to obtain the formal Selberg trace formula in the non-compact case. While the geometric side doesn't change (see the right-hand side of (2.9)), the spectral side is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spectral side} &= \sum_{r_n} H(r_n) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(r) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} (1/2 + ir) dr \\ &+ \frac{p}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(r) \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1 + ir) dr \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \left(p - \text{Tr } \Phi(1/2) \right) H(0) + p \log(2) \hat{H}(0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

3 Results from spectral theory and the heat equation

In this section we present various bounds on the heat kernel associated to the degenerating family. As we will see, these bounds turn out to be independent of the family parameter q as well as the imaginary part of the time variable z . In the process, we will also define and analyze the Poisson kernel subject to Dirichlet condition on the hyperbolic cone of finite volume $C_{q,\delta}$, as this Poisson kernel can be realized as a normal derivative of the heat kernel on the finite volume cone.

The first two propositions of this section yield bounds which are independent of q .

Proposition 3.1. *Let R_q denote either a finite volume degenerating hyperbolic surface M_q or an infinite volume degenerating hyperbolic cone C_q . Then the spectral expansion for the heat kernel on R_q converges in the topology of smooth functions on compact sets. That is, every derivative in the space variables converges uniformly on compact sets.*

Proof. If the surface R_q is compact, then the spectral expansion of the heat kernel has the following

expression

$$K_{R_q}(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{n,q}t} \phi_{n,q}(x) \phi_{n,q}(y)$$

for an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_{n,q}\}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{n,q}\}$. Proposition 20.1 of [Sh 87] (see also [Ch 84] pp. 139 - 140) shows that the series above converges smoothly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times R_q \times R_q$.

In the non-compact case, let $\{\Omega_{m,q}\}$ be a compact exhaustion of R_q . The heat kernel subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Omega_{m,q}$ converges smoothly to the heat kernel on M_q (see [Ch 84] starting on p. 187). Proposition 20.1 of [Sh 87] makes use of Theorem 7.6 in [Sh 87] (Sobolev imbedding theorem compact case). Replacing the latter result by Corollary 7.11 in [GT 83], allows to extend Proposition 20.1 of [Sh 87] to the Dirichlet heat kernel on $\Omega_{m,q}$. This in turn implies that spectral expansion of the heat kernel subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Omega_{m,q}$ converges smoothly. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let M_q be a compact degenerating surface. Let $\varepsilon < \delta, x \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon}$, and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$. $\partial_{n,x}$ will denote the normal derivative on $\partial C_{q,\varepsilon}$ with respect to the variable x . For t in a compact interval not containing 0, there exists a number C independent of q and t such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following bounds.*

$$2|K_{M_q}(t + is, x, \zeta)| \leq K_{M_q}(t, x, x) + K_{M_q}(t, \zeta, \zeta) \leq C \quad (3.1)$$

$$2|\partial_{n,x} K_{M_q}(t + is, x, \zeta)| \leq \partial_{n,y} \partial_{n,z} K_{M_q}(t, y, z) \Big|_{\substack{y=x \\ z=x}} + K_{M_q}(t, \zeta, \zeta) \leq C \quad (3.2)$$

$$2|K_{C_q}(t + is, x, \zeta)| \leq K_{C_q}(t, x, x) + K_{C_q}(t, \zeta, \zeta) \leq C \quad (3.3)$$

$$2|\partial_{n,x} K_{C_q}(t + is, x, \zeta)| \leq \partial_{n,y} \partial_{n,z} K_{C_q}(t, y, z) \Big|_{\substack{y=x \\ z=x}} + K_{C_q}(t, \zeta, \zeta) \leq C \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [JoLu 97a]. $\square$

Our next task is to define the Poisson kernel on the hyperbolic cone $C_{q,\delta}$ associated to an elliptic representative of order q .

Definition 3.3. Let $K_{C_{q,\delta}}^D$ be the Dirichlet heat kernel on the hyperbolic domain $C_{q,\delta}$. For any point $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, denote by $\partial_{n,\zeta}$ the inward normal derivative. The Poisson kernel $P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta)$ of the hyperbolic domain $C_{q,\delta}$ is defined by

$$P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta) = \partial_{n,\zeta} K_{C_{q,\delta}}^D(t, x, \zeta).$$

Remark 3.4. From the Theorem 5 on page 168 of [Ch 84], we have the following description of the Poisson kernel. The function $P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta)$ is an integral kernel for $t > 0$ with $x \in C_{q,\delta}$ and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, which solves the following boundary value problem. Let $u = u(t, x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - \partial_t)u &= 0 \\ u(0, x) &= 0 \\ u(t, \zeta) &= f(t, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

for $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$. Then

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \sigma, x, \zeta) f(\sigma, \zeta) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma$$

where $d\rho(\zeta)$ represents the line element on the boundary of $C_{q,\delta}$.

The following lemma establishes various estimates for the Poisson kernel which are independent of q .

Proposition 3.5. *Let C_q be a family of infinite volume hyperbolic cones. For any $\delta > 0$, any $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$, and any real values $t_0, t_1 > 0$, the following results hold.*

(a) *For all $0 < t \leq t_1$, $x \in C_{q,\varepsilon}$, and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, there is a constant M independent of q such that*

$$0 \leq P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \leq M.$$

(b) *For all $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, $x \in C_{q,\delta}$, and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, there is a constant M independent of q such that*

$$0 \leq P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \leq M.$$

(c) *For fixed s , the L^2 -norm $\|P_{q,\delta}(t + is, \cdot, \zeta)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}}$ is decreasing in t .*

Proof. The proof follows the template given in Proposition 2.3 of [JoLu 97a] with some minor changes which we now present.

The Dirichlet heat kernel is non-negative for all values of the parameters and equal to zero when either x or ζ lie on the boundary of the cone $C_{q,\delta}$. In turn, the difference quotient whose limit is the Poisson kernel, is a limit of non-negative functions, so that the Poisson kernel is non-negative. This proves the lower bounds in parts a) and b).

To prove part (c), we break up the Poisson kernel as $u + iv$ for real valued functions u and v . With $s \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|P_{q,\delta}(t + is, \cdot, \zeta)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}}^2 &= \partial_t \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (u^2 + v^2) d\mu \\ &= 2 \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (uu_t + vv_t) d\mu \\ &= 2 \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (u\Delta u + v\Delta v) d\mu \\ &= -2 \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (\langle \text{grad } u, \text{grad } u \rangle + \langle \text{grad } v, \text{grad } v \rangle) d\mu \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we use Green's theorem as applied to functions that vanish on the boundary of $C_{q,\delta}$.

It remains to consider the upper bounds in part (a) and (b). In the process, we will show that the Poisson kernel is bounded above by the normal derivative of the Dirichlet heat kernel. Recall from Section 1, that a fundamental domain for the finite volume hyperbolic cone $C_{q,\delta}$ is given by the following subset of the hyperbolic unit disc,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\rho e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} : 0 \leq \rho < \cosh^{-1}(1 + \delta q/2\pi), 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi/q\}.$$

Let $K_{\mathcal{F}}^D$ denote the Dirichlet heat kernel of the domain $\mathcal{F}$. Given a point $\tilde{\zeta}$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{F}$, there is a unique geodesic g (which depends on the choice of point and fundamental domain) tangent to the boundary of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\tilde{\zeta}$. The geodesic g separates the hyperbolic unit disc into two components. Denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the component containing the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$ (see Fig. 4). Associated to this component we have the Poisson kernel which we denote by $K_{\mathcal{V}}^D$. Using the isotropy subgroup for C_q and the definition of the Poisson kernel, we can write

$$P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta) = \partial_{n,\zeta} K_{C_{q,\delta}}^D(t, x, \zeta) = \partial_{n,\tilde{\zeta}} \sum_{k=1}^q K_{\mathcal{F}}^D(t, \exp(2\pi i k/q) \tilde{x}, \tilde{\zeta}). \quad (3.5)$$

Let $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the unique geodesic parametrized by arclength defined by the following conditions: $p(0) = \tilde{\zeta}$, p is perpendicular to g at $\tilde{\zeta}$, and $p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \mathcal{V}$. Since $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V}$, we have that $K_{\mathcal{F}}^D \leq K_{\mathcal{V}}^D$, and since $p(0)$ lies on the boundary of $C_{q,\delta}$, we have that

$$\partial_{n,\tilde{\zeta}} K_{\mathcal{F}}^D(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\zeta}) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_{\mathcal{F}}^D(t, \tilde{x}, p(h))}{h} \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_{\mathcal{V}}^D(t, \tilde{x}, p(h))}{h}. \quad (3.6)$$




Figure 4: A geometric construction in the hyperbolic disc model

Because the boundary of $\mathcal{V}$ is a geodesic (namely g), the method of images implies the equality

$$K_{\mathcal{V}}^D(t, \tilde{x}, p(h)) = K_{\mathbb{D}}(t, \tilde{x}, p(h)) - K_{\mathbb{D}}(t, \tilde{x}, p(-h)).$$

From this and equation (3.6), we can write

$$\partial_{n,\tilde{\zeta}} K_{\mathcal{F}}^D(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\zeta}) \leq 2\partial_{n,\tilde{\zeta}} K_{\mathbb{D}}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\zeta}).$$

Differentiating the sum in (3.5) we obtain the inequality

$$P_{q,\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \leq 2\partial_{n,\tilde{\zeta}} \sum_{k=1}^q K_{\mathbb{D}}(t, \exp(2\pi i k/q) \tilde{x}, \tilde{\zeta}) \leq 2\partial_{n,\tilde{\zeta}} K_{C_q}(t, x, \zeta). \quad (3.7)$$

If x and ζ are bounded away from each other, inequality (3.7) and the limit (5.2) of Theorem 5.2 imply that (3.7) is uniformly convergent as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for $0 < t \leq t_1$, proving the upper bound in part (a) of the proposition. On the other hand, if $x \in C_{q,\delta}$ and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, the limit (5.2) again implies that (3.7) converges uniformly for $0 < t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, proving the upper bound in part (b) of the proposition. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $z = t + is$ with fixed t . Let $x \in C_{q,\delta}$ and suppose that $f(z, x)$ is a C^2 function for which $(\partial_z - \Delta_x)f(z, x) = 0$. Write $f = u + iv$ for some real-valued functions u and v . Then we have*

$$\partial_s \|f(t + is, \cdot)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}}^2 = 4 \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} (v \partial_n u - u \partial_n v) d\rho.$$

Proof. Since t is fixed, we have that $\partial_z = (-i/2)\partial_s$. This together with the heat equation imply

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_x f &= \Delta_x u + i \Delta_x v = \partial_z u + i \partial_z v \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \partial_s u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_s v. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \|f(t + is, \cdot)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}}^2 &= \partial_s \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (u^2 + v^2) d\mu \\ &= 2 \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (u \partial_s u + v \partial_s v) d\mu \\ &= 4 \int_{C_{q,\delta}} (-u \Delta_x v + v \Delta_x u) d\mu \\ &= 4 \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} (-u \partial_n v + v \partial_n u) d\rho \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Green's theorem. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. For fixed $t > 0$ and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, the L^2 -norm

$$\| P_{q,\delta}(t + is, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\delta}}$$

is constant in s .

Proof. For fixed $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, define

$$f(t + is, x) = P_{q,\delta}(t + is, x, \zeta)$$

and apply the previous lemma. Note that the Poisson kernel vanishes at boundary values, so that the integrand in the previous lemma is identically zero. $\square$

Lemma 3.8.

(a) Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta < 1/2$, and $t_0 \geq 0$. There exists a number C independent of q such that for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in C_{q,\varepsilon} \\ \zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}}} |K_{M_q} - K_{C_q}|(t, x, \zeta) \leq C$$

(b) Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. For fixed $t > 0$ and $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$,

$$\| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \leq C\sqrt{1 + |s|}$$

Proof. The inclusion of the fundamental groups gives the lower bound

$$(K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t, x, \zeta) \geq 0.$$

The function $(K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t, x, \zeta)$ is a solution to the heat equation in t and x on the domain $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ with zero initial data. By applying the maximum principle and the positivity of the heat kernel K_{C_q} as in Lemma 5.3, we can write

$$(K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t, x, \zeta) \leq \sup_{\substack{z \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon} \\ w \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon} \\ 0 \leq \tau \leq t}} K_{M_q}(\tau, z, w).$$

Using the above inequality together with the first bound in Proposition 3.2 we complete part (a) of this lemma.

To prove part (b), first note that from part (a) we have that the sup norm is bounded. Consequently, the L^2 norm is bounded and we can write

$$\| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 \leq C_1$$

for some C_1 independent of q . We then substitute $(K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t, x, \zeta)$ for f in the statement of Lemma 3.6 and use the bounds in Proposition 3.2 to write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_s \| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 \right| &\leq 4 \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} |-u \partial_n v + v \partial_n u| d\rho \\ &\leq C \cdot \text{len}(\partial C_{q,\delta}) = C\sqrt{4\pi\varepsilon/q + \varepsilon^2} \\ &\leq C_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some C_2 independent of q (since $q > 2$ and $\varepsilon < 1/2$). Integrate the last inequality and get

$$\| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 \leq C_1 + C_2|s|.$$

We complete the proof by taking the square root. $\square$

4 Analysis of elliptic heat trace

In this section, we estimate the trace of the heat kernel on the hyperbolic cone C_q both over all of C_q as well as over the truncated conical region $C_q \setminus C_{q,\delta}$. The main technical computation is Proposition 4.1, which is analogous to Theorem 3.1 of [JoLu 97a]. The remainder of the section states corollaries which we derive from Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $\delta > 0$ and $z = t + is$ with $t > 0$, set*

$$\eta = \frac{t}{4(t^2 + s^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi} \right)^2 \right).$$

Then, if we let $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the Riemann zeta function, we have the bound

$$\left| \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\delta}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(z, x, x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi} \right)^{-2\eta\gamma} \left[\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(1 + 2\eta\gamma) + \pi \right].$$

Proof. This first part of the proof (up to the integral representation given in the equation (4.1)) follows the very same ideas as in the computation of the elliptic heat trace presented in Theorem 2.5. However, since this is a rather technical proposition, we will present it in detail.

The domain of integration can be modeled by the region in $\mathbb{H}$ described by

$$\{(\rho, \theta) : r(\delta, q) \leq \rho < \infty, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\} \quad \text{where } r(\delta, q) = \cosh^{-1}(1 + q\delta/2\pi).$$

In these coordinates, write any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ as $x = (\rho, \theta)$ and, referring to (1.4), let

$$a(n, q, \rho) = d(x, \gamma_q^n x) = \cosh^{-1}(1 + 2 \sin^2(\pi n/q) \sinh^2(\rho)).$$

In these coordinates, the integrand under consideration depends solely on ρ . Therefore, we are studying the explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned} I_{q,\delta}(z) &= \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\delta}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(z, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{r(\delta,q)}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} K_{\mathbb{H}}(z, d(x, \gamma_q^n x)) q^{-1} \sinh(\rho) d\theta d\rho \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{q} \int_{r(\delta,q)}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} K_{\mathbb{H}}(z, a(n, q, \rho)) \sinh(\rho) d\rho \\ &= \frac{e^{-z/4}}{q\sqrt{\pi}(2z)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \int_{r(\delta,q)}^{\infty} \int_{a(n,q,\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{ue^{-u^2/4z} \sinh(\rho) du d\rho}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 - 2 \sin^2(\pi n/q) \sinh^2(\rho)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$x = \cosh \rho \quad \text{and} \quad b(n, q, x) = \cosh^{-1}(1 + 2 \sin^2(\pi n/q)(x^2 - 1))$$

so then

$$I_{q,\delta}(z) = \frac{e^{-z/4}}{q\sqrt{\pi}(2z)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \int_{1+q\delta/2\pi}^{\infty} \int_{b(n,q,x)}^{\infty} \frac{ue^{-u^2/4z} du dx}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 + 2 \sin^2(\pi n/q)(1 - x^2)}}.$$

To continue, let us interchange the order of integration in this iterated integral. To do so, we set

$$c(n, q, u) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\cosh u - 1}{2 \sin^2(\pi n/q)}} \quad \text{and} \quad d(n, q, \delta) = b(n, q, 1 + q\delta/2\pi)$$

so then we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{q,\delta}(z) &= \frac{e^{-z/4}}{q\sqrt{\pi}(2z)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} \int_{1+q\delta/2\pi}^{c(n,q,u)} \frac{ue^{-u^2/4z} dx du}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 + 2\sin^2(\pi n/q)(1-x^2)}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-z/4}}{4q\sqrt{\pi}z^3} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} ue^{-u^2/4z} \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi/q)(1+q\delta/2\pi)}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 + 2\sin^2(\pi n/q)}} \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

In the above computations, we used the elementary formula

$$\int_a^b \frac{dx}{\sqrt{c-dx^2}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(\cos^{-1}(b\sqrt{d/c}) - \cos^{-1}(a\sqrt{d/c}) \right)$$

in order to compute the integral with respect to x . Continuing, let us define

$$f(u, q, n) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi/q)(1+q\delta/2\pi)}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 + 2\sin^2(\pi n/q)}} \right)$$

and let f' denote the derivative with respect to u . Therefore after integrating by parts, we are left with the expression

$$I_{q,\delta}(z) = \frac{e^{-z/4}}{2q\sqrt{\pi}z} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/4z} f'(u, q, n) du. \quad (4.1)$$

To finish, we shall now estimate the integral in (4.1). First, observe that

$$f'(u, q, n) = \frac{(1+q\delta/2\pi)}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\sin(n\pi/q) \sinh u (\cosh u - 1 + 2\sin^2(\pi n/q))^{-1}}{\sqrt{\cosh u - 1 + 2\sin^2(\pi n/q) - 2\sin^2(\pi n/q)(1+q\delta/2\pi)^2}}.$$

Clearly, it follows that $f' > 0$. Observing that

$$\int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} f'(u, q, n) du = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

we proceed in bounding the integral $I_{q,\delta}(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{q,\delta}(z)| &= \left| \frac{e^{-z/4}}{2q\sqrt{\pi}z} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/4z} f'(u, q, n) du \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t/4}}{2q\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} e^{-\eta u^2} f'(u, q, n) du \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t/4}}{2q\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \left(\sup_{u \geq d(n,q,\delta)} e^{-\eta u^2} \right) \int_{d(n,q,\delta)}^{\infty} f'(u, q, n) du \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} e^{-\eta d(n,q,\delta)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Recalling the definition of $d(n, q, \delta)$ and using that $\log(x) \leq \log(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = \cosh^{-1}(x)$ for $x \geq 1$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\eta d(n, q, \delta)^2) &= \exp \left(-\eta (\cosh^{-1})^2 \left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\eta \log^2 \left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to further bound the last inequality of (4.2) thus giving

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{q,\delta}(z)| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \exp\left(-\eta \log^2\left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta h(n,q,\delta)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$h(n, q, \delta) = \log\left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2}\right).$$

We continue by using the set decomposition

$$\{1, 2, \dots, q-1\} = \mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \{n : 1 \leq n \leq q-1 \text{ and } \sin(n\pi/q) \leq \sqrt{2}/2\}$$

so then

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \{n : 1 \leq n \leq q-1 \text{ and } \sin(n\pi/q) > \sqrt{2}/2\}.$$

We then write the sum in (4.3) as $F_1(z) + F_2(z)$, where $F_1(z)$ is the sum over the integers in $\mathcal{J}_1$, and $F_2(z)$ is the sum over the integers in $\mathcal{J}_2$. Let us first consider the sum yielding $F_1(z)$. Note that one has $2[q/4]$ such terms indexed by $n = 1, 2, \dots, [q/4]$ and $n = q-1, q-2, \dots, q-[q/4]$. Since $\sin x = \sin(\pi - x)$, we only sum $n = 1, 2, \dots, [q/4]$ and multiply by 2. It is elementary that if $0 \leq \sin x \leq \sqrt{2}/2$ then $\sin x \geq x/2$. With all this, the contribution to (4.3) of these terms is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(z) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{J}_1} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta h(n,q,\delta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \cdot 2 \sum_{n=1}^{[q/4]} \frac{2q}{n\pi} \left(1 + \frac{q\delta(n\pi/2q)^2(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta h(n,q,\delta)} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \sum_{n=1}^{[q/4]} n^{-1} \left(n^2 \frac{\delta^2}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta \log(1+\delta^2/(2\pi)^2)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta \gamma [q/4]} \sum_{n=1}^{[q/4]} n^{-1-2\eta \gamma} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}\right)^{-2\eta \gamma} \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(1+2\eta \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The last expression occurs in the upper bound asserted in the statement of the proposition.

We now study the terms in (4.3) which yields $F_2(z)$, namely those for which $\sin(n\pi/q) > \sqrt{2}/2$. There are at most $q-1-2[q/4]$ of these terms, which we bound using the coarse but adequate estimate $q-1-2[q/4] \leq q$. With this, we have the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(z) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{J}_2} \frac{1}{\sin(n\pi/q)} \left(1 + \frac{q\delta \sin^2(n\pi/q)(4\pi + q\delta)}{2\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta h(n,q,\delta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{(q\delta\sqrt{2}/2)^2}{2\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta \log(1+\delta^2/(2\pi)^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-t/4}}{4q\sqrt{|z|}} \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\eta \gamma} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi e^{-t/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}|z|} \left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}\right)^{-2\eta \gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.5) completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Remark 4.2. It is interesting to compare the bound obtained in Proposition 4.1 (elliptic degeneration) with the bound given in Theorem 3.1 of [JoLu 97a] (hyperbolic degeneration). When doing so, one sees a remarkable similarity between the upper bounds, which one would expect in some philosophical level. However, structurally, one can make a more precise comparison between the two results. As in [JoLu 97a], our results rely on the coefficient of the special value of the Riemann zeta function. When comparing Theorem 3.1 of [JoLu 97a] and Proposition 4.1 above, we need to keep in mind that the domain of integration in the setting of hyperbolic degeneration of [JoLu 97a] consists of two integrals, whereas in elliptic degeneration there is one component. Furthermore, one could easily modify the expressions involving δ in Theorem 3.1 of [JoLu 97a] so that they match their counterparts here. As we will see, the additive factor next to the zeta value present here (but not in [JoLu 97a]), will play a significant role in the behavior of the trace near $t = 0$.

Remark 4.3. For any q , we have that

$$I_{q,0}(z) = \frac{e^{-z/4}}{q\sqrt{16\pi z}} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2/4z} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q)} du.$$

The result follows by taking $\delta = 0$ in the integral representation given by the equation (4.1). The integral above is one of the terms (corresponding to one elliptic fixed point) in the elliptic heat trace formula as detailed in Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $z = t + is$ with $t > 0$. Then the following bounds and limits hold uniformly in q .*

(a) *There is a constant C (depending on δ, t , and the number of degenerating elliptic elements) such that*

$$\left| \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\delta}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq C(1 + |s|)^{3/2}.$$

(b) *The following limit holds*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\delta}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \right| = 0.$$

(c) *For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, there exists a constant C (depending on t) such that*

$$\left| \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq C(1 + |s|)^{3/2}.$$

(d) *For fixed $z = t + is$ there exists a constant C such that*

$$\left| \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. For part (a), the quantity above is a sum of integrals over $C_{q_k} \setminus C_{q_k,\delta}$ for each $q_k \in q$. Recall that $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$ for ε near 0, so then the result follows immediately from Proposition 4.1. Part (b) follows directly by inspection from Proposition 4.1.

Part (c) estimates the integral (II) in Theorem 5.4. For simplicity, we can work with one degenerating cone whose order is q . Choose δ such that $\varepsilon < \delta < 1/2$. For any $x, y \in C_{q,\varepsilon}$ with y fixed, we can use the Poisson kernel to write

$$(K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \sigma, x, \zeta) (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, y) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma$$

which naturally extends to complex values of t . Set $y = x$ and integrate to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} \int_0^{t+is} \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t + is - \sigma, x, \zeta) (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, x) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_0^{t+is} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} P_{q,\delta}(t + is - \sigma, x, \zeta) (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, x) d\mu(x) d\sigma d\rho(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

where the interchange of the order of integration is justified by the fact that the integrand is continuous. Break up the above integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_0^{t+is} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} &= \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} \\ &+ \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_{t/2}^{t/2+is} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} + \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_{t/2+is}^{t+is} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Label the above three integrals A, B, and C. The path of integration in the variable σ consists of three linear segments.

Estimating integral A. For $0 \leq \sigma \leq t/2$, the supremum bound from Lemma 3.8 part (a) gives the bound

$$\| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, \cdot) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \leq M \sqrt{\text{vol}(C_{q,\varepsilon})} = M\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where M is independent of q . For $0 \leq \sigma \leq t/2$, inclusions of domains gives the inequality

$$\| P_{q,\delta}(t + is - \sigma, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \leq \| P_{q,\delta}(t + is - \sigma, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\delta}}.$$

The L^2 -norm above is constant in s , by Corollary 3.7, and decreasing in t , by Proposition 3.5 part (c). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \| P_{q,\delta}(t + is - \sigma, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\delta}} &\leq \| P_{q,\delta}(t - \sigma, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\delta}} \\ &\leq \| P_{q,\delta}(t/2, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\delta}} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t/2, x, \zeta) \sqrt{\text{vol}(C_{q,\delta})} \\ &\leq M\sqrt{\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Proposition 3.5 part (a). Recall that the length of the boundary of $C_{q,\delta}$ is $\sqrt{4\pi\delta/q + \delta^2}$. Using these facts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get the bound for integral A from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_0^{t/2} \| P_{q,\delta}(t + is - \sigma, \cdot, \zeta) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, \cdot) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} d\sigma d\rho(\zeta) \\ & \leq M^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon\delta} \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_0^{t/2} d\sigma d\rho(\zeta) \\ & \leq M^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon\delta} \sqrt{4\pi\delta/q + \delta^2} \cdot t \\ & \leq M^2 \delta \sqrt{\varepsilon(4\pi + \delta)} \cdot t \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

since q is a positive integer greater than 2.

Estimating integral B. For σ on the line segment from $t/2$ to $t/2 + is$, we can use Lemma 3.8 part (b) to obtain the inequality

$$\| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, \cdot) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \leq M \sqrt{1 + |\text{Im}(\sigma)|}.$$

As before, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Proposition 3.5 part (c), we can bound integral B from above by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_{t/2}^{t/2+is} \|P_{q,\delta}(t+is-\sigma, \cdot, \zeta)\|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, \cdot) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} d\sigma d\rho(\zeta) \right| \\ \leq M^2 \sqrt{\delta} \sqrt{4\pi\delta/q + \delta^2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} (1+|s|)^{3/2} \\ \leq M^2 \delta \sqrt{4\pi + \delta} \cdot (1+|s|)^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Estimating integral C. Using similar arguments as before, we can bound integral C from above by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_{t/2+is}^{t+is} \sup_{x \in C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t+is-\sigma, \cdot, \zeta) \cdot \sqrt{\text{vol}(C_{q,\delta})} M \sqrt{1+|s|} d\sigma d\rho(\zeta) \right| \\ \leq M t \sqrt{4\pi\delta/q + \delta^2} \sqrt{\delta} \sqrt{1+|s|} \sup_{\substack{x \in C_{q,\varepsilon} \\ \tau \in (0, t/2)}} P_{q,\delta}(\tau, x, \zeta) \\ \leq M^2 \delta \sqrt{4\pi + \delta} \cdot t \cdot \sqrt{1+|s|}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

By combining (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8) we complete the proof of part (c) of this corollary.

It remains to prove part (d). In this direction, note that integrals A and C from part (c) lines (4.6) and (4.8) depend explicitly on $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Since $z = t + is$ is fixed, we can improve the bound of integral B, namely we can show that integral B depends on $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Let $\sigma = a + ib$ with $a > 0$. Then we can write

$$|(K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, x, y)| \leq \exp(b^2/4a) a^{3/2} (a^2 + b^2)^{3/4} (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\tau, x, y)$$

where $\tau = (a^2 + b^2)/a$. For $|b| \leq |s|$, the right hand side is bounded independent of q according to Lemma 3.8 part (a). The supremum bound leads to the L^2 -bound

$$\| (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(\sigma, \zeta, \cdot) \|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} \leq M \sqrt{\text{vol}(C_{q,\varepsilon})} = M \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

which holds for the relevant range of b . With this bound, we carry on as in (4.7) and obtain that integral B is also dependent on $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *For fixed $t > 0$, the integral*

$$\int_{M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} |(K_{M_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x)| d\mu(x)$$

is bounded as a function of s , independently of q .

Proof. From Proposition 3.2 part (a), the above integral can be bounded from above by

$$\int_{M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} K_{M_q}(t, x, x) d\mu(x) + \text{vol}(M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}) K_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0). \quad (4.9)$$

Using the periodization of the heat kernel, we can rewrite the integrand in (4.9) as a Stieltjes integral

$$K_{M_q}(t, x, x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_q} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, d_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{x}, \gamma \tilde{x}) = \int_0^\infty K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \rho) dN_{\Gamma_q}(x; \rho)$$

where $N_{\Gamma_q}(x; \rho)$ counts the number of geodesics about x whose length is bounded above by ρ . Directly from (2.3), we obtain the following bound

$$K_{M_q}(t, x, x) \leq \frac{100e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho^2/4t} dN_{\Gamma_q}(x; \rho).$$

Using Lemma 4 of [JoLu 95] which we apply to the function $f(\rho) = e^{-\rho^2/4t}$, we arrive at the following bound for the heat kernel

$$K_{M_q}(t, x, x) \leq \frac{C e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}}. \quad (4.10)$$

As far as the volume term, denote by g the genus of the family (which is independent of the degeneration parameter q) and by κ the number of *all* cusps and cones of the family (which also stays constant). Then we can write

$$\text{vol}(M_q) \leq 2\pi(2g - 2 + \kappa). \quad (4.11)$$

Additionally, from (2.4) which we extend here to complex time, we obtain the following bound

$$|K_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0)| \leq \frac{e^{-t/4}}{4\pi t}. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, the combination of (4.9) thorough (4.12), yields the bound

$$\int_{M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} |(K_{M_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x)| d\mu(x) \leq \frac{2\pi(2g - 2 + \kappa)e^{-t/4}}{4\pi t} \left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} + 1 \right) \quad (4.13)$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

5 Convergence of regularized heat traces

In this section we will make use of the estimates from previous sections to prove the convergence through elliptic degeneration of the regularized trace of the heat kernel on the M_q to the regularized trace on the limiting surface M_∞ . The trace of the heat kernel alone diverges through degeneration since the degenerating elliptic elements converge to cusps in the limiting surface. The result applies to elliptically degenerating families M_q of finite volume, both in the compact and non-compact case. The convergence has a dual aspect, on the one hand pointwise and on the other hand uniform with respect to the time variable.

We start by defining the degenerating trace of the heat kernel. Let M_q be a degenerating sequence of connected, hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume having limiting surface M_∞ . This means that each surface in the family is realized as $\Gamma_q \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Let $DE(\Gamma_q)$ denote the subset of $E(\Gamma_q)$ consisting of the inconjugate primitive elliptic elements associated to the conical points we wish to degenerate into cusps. We define the degenerating heat trace via the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DTr} K_{M_q}(z) &= \int_{C_q} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(z, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in DE(\Gamma_q)} \int_{C_\gamma} (K_{C_\gamma} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(z, x, x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

for all complex values $z = t + is$ with $t > 0$.

Proposition 5.1. *In the above setting, for any $t > 0$ we have the equality*

$$\text{DTr} K_{M_q}(z) = \frac{e^{-z/4}}{\sqrt{16\pi z}} \sum_{\gamma \in DE(\Gamma_q)} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \frac{1}{q_\gamma} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2/4z} \cosh(u/2)}{\sinh^2(u/2) + \sin^2(n\pi/q_\gamma)} du.$$

Proof. One can use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. $\square$

The next result presents the behavior through degeneration of the heat kernel and its derivatives. Namely, we have the following theorem. For brevity, we only state the result. For details, we refer the reader to [JoLu 95] and Theorem 1.3 of [JoLu 97a] which one can easily adapt to the elliptic degeneration setting.

Theorem 5.2. Let R_q denote either M_q or C_q . For $i = 1, 2$, let $\nu_i = \nu_i(q)$ be a tangent vector of unit length based at $x_i \in R_q$ which converges as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by ∂_{ν_i, x_i} the directional derivative with respect to the variable x_i in the direction ν_i . Assume that either x_1 or x_2 is not a degenerating conical point. Then

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} K_{R_q}(z, x_1, x_2) = K_{R_\infty}(z, x_1, x_2) \quad (5.1)$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{\nu_i, x_i} K_{R_q}(z, x_1, x_2) = \partial_{\nu_i, x_i} K_{R_\infty}(z, x_1, x_2) \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \quad (5.2)$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{\nu_1, x_1} \partial_{\nu_2, x_2} K_{R_q}(z, x_1, x_2) = \partial_{\nu_1, x_1} \partial_{\nu_2, x_2} K_{R_\infty}(z, x_1, x_2) \quad (5.3)$$

(a) Let A be a bounded set in the complex plane with $\inf_{z \in A} \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the convergence is uniform on $A \times R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon} \times R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon}$.

(b) We define $D_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$ to be an ε' neighborhood of the diagonal of $R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon} \times R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon}$. That is,

$$D_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon} \times R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon} : d(x_1, x_2) < \varepsilon'\}$$

Let B be a bounded set in the complex plane with $\inf_{z \in B} \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$, the convergence is uniform on $B \times ((R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon} \times R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon}) \setminus D_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'})$.

In the course of the proof of the main result of this section, we analyze the behavior of three integrals. These integrals represent the regularized trace of the heat kernel from which we exclude the identity term. In other words, these integrals amount the contribution to the regularized trace of the hyperbolic and elliptic heat traces minus the contribution of the degenerating elliptic trace. We will only look at the compact case. The adaptation of the Lemma 5.3 below to the non-compact case should immediately follow.

Lemma 5.3. Let $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ be a compact connected hyperbolic surface, having m degenerating elliptic elements. Then for every sufficiently small ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{HTr} K_M + \operatorname{ETr} K_M - \operatorname{DTr} K_M)(t + is) &= \int_{M \setminus (m \times C_{q, \varepsilon})} (K_M - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &+ \int_{m \times C_{q, \varepsilon}} (K_M - K_{C_q})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &- \int_{m \times (C_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon})} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{ETr} K_M(t + is)$ and $\operatorname{DTr} K_M(t + is)$ denote the contribution to the trace of the non-degenerating and degenerating elliptic elements respectively.

Proof. The formal aspect of the above equality follows from the derivation of the group sum side of the Selberg trace formula (in particular, see [McK 72]). For clarity, we give a proof below (see also [DJ 98]).

For simplicity, we will assume that $m = 1$, i.e. only one conical end degenerates. We will drop m from notations and to further simplify matters, we will work with real time traces. Using the periodization of the heat kernel on M allows us to write

$$HK_M(t, x) + EK_M(t, x) - DK_M(t, x) = K_M(t, x, x) - DK_M(t, x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{HTr} K_M(t) + \operatorname{ETr} K_M(t) - \operatorname{DTr} K_M(t) &= \int_{M \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon}} [K_M(t, x, x) - DK_M(t, x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0)] d\mu(x) \\ &+ \int_{C_{q, \varepsilon}} [K_M(t, x, x) - DK_M(t, x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0)] d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

After separating the terms in the first integral of the right hand side above, we can further write

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{HTr}K_M(t) + \text{ETr}K_M(t) - \text{DTr}K_M(t) &= \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} [K_M(t, x, x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0)] d\mu(x) \\
&\quad - \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} DK_M(t, x) d\mu(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} [K_M(t, x, x) - DK_M(t, x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0)] d\mu(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Denoting with γ the only degenerating element in $DE(\Gamma)$ and using the decomposition into conjugacy classes, we can write the degenerating contribution to the trace as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
DK_M(t, x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \tilde{x}, \kappa^{-1} \gamma^n \kappa \tilde{x}) \\
&= \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{q_\gamma-1} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, \kappa \tilde{x}, \gamma^n \kappa \tilde{x}) \\
&= \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa \tilde{x}, \kappa \tilde{x}) \\
&= (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa \tilde{x}, \kappa \tilde{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation we drop the tilde signs. We can now write the third integral in the right hand side of equation (5.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} [K_M(t, x, x) - DK_M(t, x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0)] d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} \left[K_M(t, x, x) - K_{C_q}(t, x, x) + K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) - K_{\mathbb{H}}(t, 0) \right] d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_M - K_{C_q})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This allows us to write the equation (5.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{HTr}K_M(t) + \text{ETr}K_M(t) - \text{DTr}K_M(t) &= \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_M - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_M - K_{C_q})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\
&\quad - \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} DK_M(t, x) d\mu(x) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

It remains to establish that the sum of the last two integrals in the right hand side of (5.5) above equals to

$$\int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x).$$

In this direction, from the sum of the last two integrals of (5.5) we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} DK_M(t, x) d\mu(x) + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} \left[(K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the two sums over κ under one integral allows us to write further

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma \\ \kappa \neq id}} \int_M (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{M \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &+ \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma} \int_M (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x) - \int_M (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \Gamma} \int_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, \kappa x, \kappa x) d\mu(x) - \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

since the sum of the integral in the fourth equality above unfolds to $\Gamma_\gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ which represents the infinite cone C_q . This proves the case for one degenerating elliptic element and easily generalizes to several degenerating elements. This completes the proof of the formal aspect of the lemma.

We need to show finiteness for all $t > 0$. The proof is similar to Theorem 1.1 of [JoLu 97b]. In particular this will imply that the right hand side of the equation in the statement of the lemma is independent of the choice of ε . That the first and third integrals are bounded follows from Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.1 respectively.

It remains to establish the finiteness of the second integral. We will prove this by two applications of the maximum principle ([Ch 84] page 180). Consider the following function

$$D(t, x, y) = K_M(t, x, y) - K_{C_q}(t, x, y).$$

Fix y in some conical neighborhood $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ of the surface M . Observe that $D(t, x, y)$ satisfies the heat equation with respect to the x and t . Fix some $\varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon$, so that all conical points have hyperbolic neighborhoods of area equal to ε_0 . Using the maximum principle, the function $D(t, x, y)$ attains its maximum when x lies on the boundary of the conical neighborhood C_{q,ε_0} . Note that y is contained $C_{q,\varepsilon}$ which lies inside the enveloping conical neighborhood C_{q,ε_0} . Using the maximum principle along with the positivity of the heat kernels, we get the bound

$$- \sup_{\substack{z \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_0} \\ 0 \leq \tau \leq t}} K_{C_q}(\tau, z, y) \leq D(t, x, y) \leq \sup_{\substack{z \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_0} \\ 0 \leq \tau \leq t}} K_M(\tau, z, y). \quad (5.6)$$

For each z , the terms in (5.6) satisfy the heat equation on $C_{q,\varepsilon_0/2}$ with zero initial data. A second application of the maximum principle gives the bound

$$-\sup_{\substack{z \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_0} \\ w \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_0/2} \\ 0 \leq \tau \leq t}} K_{C_q}(\tau, z, w) \leq D(t, x, y) \leq \sup_{\substack{z \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_0} \\ w \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon_0/2} \\ 0 \leq \tau \leq t}} K_M(\tau, z, w). \quad (5.7)$$

Standard bounds for the heat kernel ([Ch 84] page 198) and equation (5.7) provide upper and lower bounds for the function $D(t, x, y)$. Thus the second integral in the statement of the lemma can be made arbitrarily small since both the integrand as well as the domain of integration can be made arbitrarily small.

We remark here that the lower bounds in (5.6) and (5.7) can be improved trivially to zero combining (2.5) with the observation that the fundamental group of C_q embeds into the fundamental group of M . $\square$

The following theorem is the principal result of this section as well as one of the main tools used in this paper. For instance, this type of regularized convergence will be used to show the convergence in the context of elliptic degeneration of the spectral weighted counting functions, the Selberg zeta function, the spectral zeta function, as well as other functions such as the Poisson kernel, the wave kernel, and the resolvent kernel. With these remarks in mind, we state the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let M_q denote an elliptically degenerating family of compact or non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume converging to the non-compact hyperbolic surface M_∞ .*

(a) (Pointwise) *For fixed $z = t + is$ with $t > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} [\text{HTr} K_{M_q}(z) + \text{ETr} K_{M_q}(z) - \text{DTr} K_{M_q}(z)] = \text{HTr} K_{M_\infty}(z) + \text{ETr} K_{M_\infty}(z).$$

(b) (Uniformity) *For any $t > 0$, there exists a constant C such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and all q , we have the bound*

$$|\text{HTr} K_{M_q}(z) + \text{ETr} K_{M_q}(z) - \text{DTr} K_{M_q}(z)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^{3/2}.$$

Proof. We will prove part (a) in the case M_q is an elliptically degenerating compact family. Then we will extend the result in the non-compact setting.

If M_q is a family of elliptically degenerated compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, the above Lemma 5.3 allows us to write for sufficiently small ε and $t > 0$

$$(\text{HTr} K_{M_q} + \text{ETr} K_{M_q} - \text{DTr} K_{M_q})(t + is) = \int_{M_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{M_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \quad (\text{I})$$

$$+ \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{M_q} - K_{C_q})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x) \quad (\text{II})$$

$$- \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t + is, x, x) d\mu(x). \quad (\text{III})$$

As q goes to infinity, Theorem 5.2 part (a) implies that the integrand in (I) converges uniformly on compact subsets of M_q bounded away from the developing cusps and the cones corresponding to elliptic elements. On such compact sets, the metric converges uniformly. Since the domain of integration is compact, we get that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} (\text{I}) = \int_{M_\infty \setminus C_{\infty,\varepsilon}} (K_{M_\infty} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(z, x, x) d\mu(x).$$

Integral (II) corresponds to the integral over $C_{\infty,\varepsilon}$. Using Corollary 4.4 part (d) for a small choice of ε , we can make integral (II) arbitrarily small.

It remains to consider integral (III). In this direction, choose $\delta > \varepsilon$ and break down integral (III) in two, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} [K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}}](z, x, x) d\mu(x) &= \int_{C_{q,\delta} \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} [K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}}](z, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\delta}} [K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}}](z, x, x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

From Theorem 5.2 part (a), we have that the integrand of (III) converges uniformly in q . Since the domain $C_{q,\delta} \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}$ is compact, we have that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} [K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}}](z, x, x) d\mu(x) = \int_{C_{\infty,\delta} \setminus C_{\infty,\varepsilon}} [K_{C_{\infty}} - K_{\mathbb{H}}](z, x, x) d\mu(x),$$

where the second integral in (5.8) can be made arbitrarily small by choosing δ small enough as in part (b) of Corollary 4.4. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.4 part (a) in the compact case.

The non-compact version of Theorem 5.4 part (a) can be argued as follows. Suppose that $M_q = M_{q,\infty}$ has p cusps. Using Proposition 1.3, the surface $M_{q,\infty}$ can be realized as the limit of an elliptically degenerating family of compact hyperbolic surfaces $M_{q,p}$ having $m + p$ conical points, by degenerating p cones into cusps. The compact case of Theorem 5.4 part (a) applies: For every fixed q , we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \text{Htr} K_{M_{q,p}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{q,p}}(z) - \text{Dtr} K_{M_{q,p}}(z) = \text{Htr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z).$$

The compact case of Theorem 5.4 also applies to the family $M_{q,p}$ when we let q and p go simultaneously to infinity:

$$\lim_{q,p \rightarrow \infty} \text{Htr} K_{M_{q,p}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{q,p}}(z) - \text{Dtr} K_{M_{q,p}}(z) = \text{Htr} K_{M_{\infty,\infty}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{\infty,\infty}}(z).$$

Having showed that for each q a limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ exists and that a limit exists when $q, p \rightarrow \infty$ simultaneously, we conclude that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \text{Htr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z) - \text{Dtr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z) = \text{Htr} K_{M_{\infty,\infty}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{\infty,\infty}}(z).$$

We will prove part (b) of Theorem 5.4, by first treating the compact case and then extend the proof to the non-compact case. From Lemma 4.5 we have that integral (I) is $O(1)$, independent of q . Integrals (II) and (III) are both $O(s^{3/2})$ independent of q according to Corollary 4.4 part (c) and part (a) respectively. This proves the compact case.

To prove the non-compact case, we note that any non-compact hyperbolic surface M_q can be realized as the limit of a compact family $M_{q,q'}$. The compact case of Theorem 5.4 part (b) applies and we can write

$$|\text{Htr} K_{M_{q,q'}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{q,q'}}(z) - \text{Dtr} K_{M_{q,q'}}(z)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^{3/2}$$

where the bound is independent of q and q' and the constant C is independent of the limiting surface. By letting q' go to infinity and applying Theorem 5.4 part (a), we can write

$$|\text{Htr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z) - \text{Dtr} K_{M_{q,\infty}}(z)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^{3/2}$$

uniformly in q . This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 5.5. By following the steps of Theorem 5.4 with slight modifications, we can derive the following bound for the difference of traces. For $0 < t < 1$, there is a positive constant C such that

$$|\text{Htr} K_{M_q}(z) + \text{Etr} K_{M_q}(z) - \text{Dtr} K_{M_q}(z)| \leq Ct^{-2}(1 + |s|)^{3/2},$$

holds. To do so, we need to revisit integrals (I) through (III) from Theorem 5.4. For integral (I), Lemma 4.5 gives the upper bound $Ct^{-3/2}$. Looking back to formulas (4.6) through (4.8) in the proof of Corollary 4.4 part (c), we see that $Ct(1 + |s|)^{3/2}$ provides an upper bound for integral (II). For integral (III), we start by splitting it as

$$\int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) = \int_{C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon_1}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \quad (\text{III.1})$$

$$+ \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon_1} \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x). \quad (\text{III.2})$$

with $\varepsilon_1 > \max\{2\pi, \varepsilon\}$. We recall Proposition 4.1, so that for integral (III.1) we obtain the bound

$$|\text{III.1}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|z|}} \left[\zeta(1 + 2\eta\gamma) + \pi \right],$$

with $\eta = t/(4(t^2 + s^2))$ and $\gamma = \log(1 + (\varepsilon_1/2\pi)^2)$. If $s \neq 0$, then $2\eta\gamma \searrow 0$ as $t \searrow 0$; consequently $\zeta(1 + 2\eta\gamma) \sim (2\eta\gamma)^{-1}$ and

$$|\text{III.1}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 + s^2}} \left[\frac{2(t^2 + s^2)}{\gamma t} + c_\gamma \right] = (t^2 + s^2)^{3/4} \left[\frac{c_\gamma}{t^2} + \frac{2}{\gamma t} \right] \leq C_\gamma t^{-2} (1 + |s|)^{3/2}.$$

For integral (III.2) we use the Corollary 4.4 part (c) and the inclusion of heat kernels as follows:

$$|\text{III.2}| \leq \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon_1}} (K_{M_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \leq Ct(1 + |s|)^{3/2}.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.4 part (a), we have the following corollary, which described the small time asymptotic behavior for the regularized trace of the heat kernel.

Corollary 5.6. *Let M_q denote an elliptically degenerated family of compact or non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume which converges to the non-compact hyperbolic surface M_∞ . Then for any fixed $\delta > 0$, there exists a positive constant c such that for all $0 < t < \delta$, we have*

$$\text{HTr}K_{M_q}(t) + \text{ETr}K_{M_q}(t) - \text{DTr}K_{M_q}(t) = O\left(t^{-3/2}\right)$$

uniformly in q .

Proof. As per Remark 5.5 above, integral (I) is $O(t^{-3/2})$ while integrals (II) and (III.2) are $O(1)$. The only change is with integral (III.1) for which the special case $s = 0$ allows to improve its upper bound. Namely, $2\eta\gamma = \gamma/(2t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \searrow 0$; consequently $\zeta(1 + 2\eta\gamma) \sim 1 + (2\eta\gamma)^{-1}$ and

$$|\text{III.1}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\frac{2t}{\gamma} + c_\gamma \right] \leq C_\gamma t^{-1/2}.$$

□

Remark 5.7. In the non-compact setting, aside from the m degenerating conical points, each surface in the family has p cusps. Consequently, Lemma 5.3 becomes a 5 integral lemma

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{HTr}K_M + \text{ETr}K_M - \text{DTr}K_M)(t) &= \int_{M \setminus (m \times C_{q,\varepsilon} \cup p \times C_{\infty,\varepsilon})} (K_M - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{m \times C_{q,\varepsilon}} (K_M - K_{C_q})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad - \int_{m \times (C_q \setminus C_{q,\varepsilon})} (K_{C_q} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{p \times C_{\infty,\varepsilon}} (K_M - K_{C_\infty})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad - \int_{p \times (C_\infty \setminus C_{\infty,\varepsilon})} (K_{C_\infty} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Integrals (IV) and (V) above are the counterparts of integrals (II) and (III) respectively. The boundedness of integral (IV) follows using similar arguments as in the case of in integral (II). Unlike integral (III), integral (V) turns out to be bounded. Namely, we split integral (V) as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_\infty \setminus C_{\infty, \varepsilon}} (K_{C_\infty} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) &= \int_{C_\infty \setminus C_{\infty, \varepsilon_1}} (K_{C_\infty} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{C_{\infty, \varepsilon_1} \setminus C_{\infty, \varepsilon}} (K_{C_\infty} - K_{\mathbb{H}})(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon_1 > \max\{2\sqrt{2}, \varepsilon\}$. The second integral on the right-hand side above is over compact domain. The boundedness of the first integral follows from Theorem 3.1 of [JoLu 97a].

Theorem 5.4 part (a) shows that the hyperbolic heat trace plus the elliptic heat trace minus the degenerating heat trace converges pointwise to the hyperbolic heat trace plus the elliptic heat trace on the limiting surface. Through elliptic degeneration, the angles that parametrize the degenerating cones become arbitrarily small as these cones turn into cusps. Their contribution to the volume of M_q becomes arbitrarily small. This means the the volume of M_q converges to the volume of the limiting surface M_∞ . It follows that the regularized heat trace minus the degenerating heat trace on M_q converges pointwise to the regularized heat trace on M_∞ . The corollary to Theorem 5.4 above shows uniformity of convergence near zero. We need to consider the asymptotics for all positive t .

6 Convergence of small eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

In this section we will show the convergence of small eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions through elliptic degeneration. This result is needed in the proof of Theorem 7.6. As stated in the introduction, we will systematically develop in [GJ 16] applications of the results in the present article to determine asymptotic behavior of various spectral functions through elliptic degeneration.

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let f be any measurable function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that there exist a vertical line $t > 0$ where its Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}(f)(t + is)$ is L^1 as a function of s . Let M_q be an elliptically degenerating family of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume which converge to the limiting surface M_∞ . Let x and y be any two points which remain bounded away from the developing cusps. For $z = t + is$ with $t > 0$ and any $T > 0$, we have the limit*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t-i\infty}^{t+i\infty} K_{M_q}(z, x, y) \mathcal{L}(f)(z) e^{Tz} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t-i\infty}^{t+i\infty} K_{M_\infty}(z, x, y) \mathcal{L}(f)(z) e^{Tz} \frac{dz}{z}.$$

The convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $M_\infty \times M_\infty$.

Proof. For $t > 0$ and any points x and y which are bounded away from the developing cusps, the heat kernel converges uniformly. The convergence of the heat kernel (see Theorem 5.2) together with the L^1 assumption for the Laplace transform of f fulfill the hypotheses of the dominated convergence theorem which we apply to conclude the proof. $\square$

Remark 6.2. For our purposes here, the function f described in Theorem 6.1 can be any function that is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}_+$ which vanishes at $t = 0$ and whose first derivative is of bounded variation.

Lemma 6.3. *Let M be a fixed hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume and f be a function as described in the previous remark.*

(a) *If M is compact, then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t-i\infty}^{t+i\infty} K_M(z, x, y) \mathcal{L}(f)(z) e^{Tz} \frac{dz}{z} = \sum_{\lambda_{M,n} < T} f(T - \lambda_{M,n}) \phi_{M,n}(x) \phi_{M,n}(y).$$

(b) If M is not compact, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t-i\infty}^{t+i\infty} K_M(z, x, y) \mathcal{L}(f)(z) e^{Tz} \frac{dz}{z} &= \sum_{\lambda_{M,n} < T} f(T - \lambda_{M,n}) \phi_{M,n}(x) \phi_{M,n}(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \int_0^{\sqrt{T-1/4}} f(T - 1/4 - r^2) E_{\text{par};M,P}(1/2 + ir, x) \overline{E_{\text{par};M,P}(1/2 + ir, y)} dr, \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral is zero if $T \leq 1/4$.

Proof. The lemma follows from the spectral decomposition of the heat kernel (see (2.1) and (2.2)) and the basic properties of the inverse Laplace transform (see [Wi 41] pages 73 and 91). $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *Let M_q be a degenerating family of non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces with limiting surface M_∞ . Let x and y be two points bounded away from the developing cusps. Then, for every fixed $T > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda_{M_q,n} < T} (T - \lambda_{M_q,n}) \phi_{M_q,n}(x) \phi_{M_q,n}(y) &= \sum_{\lambda_{M_\infty,n} < T} (T - \lambda_{M_\infty,n}) \phi_{M_\infty,n}(x) \phi_{M_\infty,n}(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \int_0^{\sqrt{T-1/4}} (T - 1/4 - r^2) E_{\text{par};M_\infty,P}(1/2 + ir, x) \overline{E_{\text{par};M_\infty,P}(1/2 + ir, y)} dr \end{aligned}$$

where the integral is zero if $T < 1/4$.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 6.3 with $f(t) = t$. $\square$

We would like to apply Theorem 6.1 with $f(t) = 1$. However, $\mathcal{L}(f)(z) = 1/z$ is not L^1 , so we cannot apply Theorem 6.1 directly. In order to make the statement, we need to set some notation. So let $w > 0$ and define the following cumulative distribution for a hyperbolic Riemann surface M

$$C_{M,w}(x, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t-i\infty}^{t+i\infty} K_M(z, x, x) e^{Tz} \frac{dz}{z^{w+1}}.$$

Note that, using part (a) of Proposition 3.2, we easily obtain that the above integral converges whenever $w > 0$. Furthermore, if M is compact, then

$$C_{M,w}(x, T) = \sum_{\lambda_{M,n} \leq T} (T - \lambda_{M,n})^w \phi_{M,n}(x)^2,$$

and if M is non-compact

$$\begin{aligned} C_{M,w}(x, T) &= \sum_{\lambda_{M,n} \leq T} (T - \lambda_{M,n})^w \phi_{M,n}(x)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \int_0^{\sqrt{T-1/4}} (T - 1/4 - r^2)^w E_{\text{par};M,P}(1/2 + ir, x)^2 dr, \end{aligned}$$

where the integral is zero if $T < 1/4$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let M_q be a degenerating family of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces with limiting surface M_∞ . Let x be a point which is bounded away from the developing cusps. Then, if $0 \leq T < 1/4$ is not an eigenvalue of M_∞ , we have*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} C_{M_q,0}(x, T) = C_{M_\infty,0}(x, T).$$

Proof. From Theorem 6.1, we have that for weight $w = 1$, the following limit holds

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} C_{M_q, 1}(x, T) = C_{M_\infty, 1}(x, T) .$$

To show the above limit holds for weight $w = 0$, we use the mean value theorem as in [JoLu 97a]. $\square$

We end this section with the following corollary which states the convergence of the small eigenfunctions.

Corollary 6.6. *Let M_q be a family of elliptically degenerating compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces which converges to M_∞ . Suppose that $0 \leq T < 1/4$ is not an eigenvalue of the limiting surface. Then, for any point x which is bounded away from the developing cusps, we have*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda_{M_q, n} \leq T} \phi_{M_q, n}(x)^2 = \sum_{\lambda_{M_\infty, n} \leq T} \phi_{M_\infty, n}(x)^2 .$$

In particular, if the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{M_\infty, n}$ is one-dimensional, then

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{M_q, n}(x) = \phi_{M_\infty, n}(x) .$$

The convergence is uniform on compact subsets of M_∞ .

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 6.5. $\square$

7 Uniform long time asymptotics

One of the results of the previous section, Corollary 5.6, presents the behavior of the regularized trace for small values of the time variable. In this section, we continue the investigation of the regularized trace for large values of the time variable. For all surfaces under our consideration, the spectrum of the Laplacian is discrete below $1/4$. For such a hyperbolic surface M , we denote the eigenvalues in this range by $\{\lambda_{M, n}\}$ and the corresponding normalized eigenfunction by $\{\phi_{M, n}\}$. The main result of this section is Theorem 7.6. Before we get to it, we need one definition and some ancillary lemmas.

Definition 7.1. *Let M_q be an elliptically degenerating family of compact or non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume which converge to the non-compact hyperbolic surface M_∞ . Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1/4$ be such that α is not an eigenvalue of M_∞ . We defined the α -truncated hyperbolic and elliptic trace by*

$$\text{HTr} K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t) + \text{ETr} K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t) = \text{HTr} K_{M_q}(t) + \text{ETr} K_{M_q}(t) - \sum_{\lambda_{q, n} \leq \alpha} e^{-\lambda_{q, n} t} .$$

As in the course of the proof for the behavior of the trace for small values of the time parameter, the investigation of the long time asymptotic of the regularized trace is based on analyzing the three integrals as in Theorem 5.4. For integrals (I) and (III) over M_q and C_q away from developing cusps, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *Let R_q denote either M_q or C_q , that is, either a degenerating hyperbolic surface of finite volume or a degenerating hyperbolic cone of infinite volume. For $\alpha < 1/4$ and $c < \alpha$, the limit*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{ct} K_{R_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, x) = e^{ct} K_{R_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, x)$$

is uniform for $x \in R_q \setminus C_{q, \varepsilon}$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. To prove this lemma, we first realize the heat kernel on M_q as a Stieltjes integral of the periodized heat kernel in the upper-plane against geodesic counting functions. By using bounds on the heat kernel in the upper-half plane and on the counting functions together with the uniform convergence of the hyperbolic metrics away from the developing cusps as well as the convergence of the small eigenvalues, the result then follows. For more details, see the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [JoLu 97b]. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *Let M_q denote an elliptically degenerating family of compact or non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume which converge to the non-compact hyperbolic surface M_∞ . Let $c < \alpha < 1/4$ and $\varepsilon < 1/2$. Then there is a constant C such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{\substack{q \\ x \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon} \\ y \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon}}} |K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - K_{C_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)| \leq C e^{-ct}.$$

Proof. Using two applications of the maximum principle (as in 5.6 and 5.7) gives us the following bound

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon} \\ y \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon}}} |K_{M_q}(t, x, y) - K_{C_q}(t, x, y)| \leq C. \quad (7.1)$$

We also remark that $1/4$ is a lower bound for the bottom of the spectrum for both the infinite volume cylinder C_∞ and the infinite volume cone C_q . Consequently, since $\alpha < 1/4$, it follows that $K_{C_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) = K_{C_\infty}(t, x, y)$ and $K_{C_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) = K_{C_q}(t, x, y)$. The triangle inequality allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ct} |K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - K_{C_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)| &\leq |e^{ct} K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - e^{ct} K_{M_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)| \\ &\quad + |e^{ct} K_{C_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - e^{ct} K_{C_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)| \\ &\quad + |e^{ct} K_{M_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - e^{ct} K_{C_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + |e^{ct} K_{M_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - e^{ct} K_{C_\infty}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)| \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 7.2 above. Another application of the triangle inequality gives the further bound

$$\varepsilon + e^{ct} |K_{M_\infty}(t, x, y) - K_{C_\infty}(t, x, y)| + e^{ct} \sum_{\lambda_n < \alpha} e^{-\lambda_n t},$$

where the collection $\{\lambda_n\}$ is the finite set of eigenvalues of M_∞ in the range $[0, \alpha)$. Now apply the sup over $x, y \in \partial C_{q,\varepsilon}$ and use the bound as in (7.1) to complete the proof. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. *Let $f(t, x)$ be a solution to the Dirichlet heat problem on the finite cone $C_{q,\varepsilon}$. For fixed $t > 0$ let $\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{C_{q,\varepsilon}, 2}$ denote the L^2 -norm of $f(t, \cdot)$ as a function on $C_{q,\varepsilon}$. Then for all $t_0, t > 0$, we have*

$$\|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}} \leq \|f(t_0, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}} e^{-t/4}.$$

Proof. From the definitions, we have that

$$\partial_t \|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 = \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} 2f f_t d\mu = \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} 2f \Delta f d\mu = -2 \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} \langle \text{grad} f, \text{grad} f \rangle d\mu$$

where the last inequality follows from the Green's theorem as applied to functions that vanish on the boundary of the domain of integration. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 &= \frac{-2 \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} \langle \text{grad} f, \text{grad} f \rangle d\mu}{\|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2} \|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 \\ &= -2\lambda_f \|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|f(t_0 + t, \cdot)\|_{2; C_{q,\varepsilon}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $\lambda = 1/4$ is a lower bound for the bottom of the spectrum for C_q . The result follows by integration. $\square$

Lemma 7.5. *For any $\varepsilon < \delta$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|P_{q,\delta}(t, \zeta, \cdot)\|_{C_{q,\varepsilon},2} \leq Ce^{-t/4}.$$

Proof. Choose t_0 small enough such that $0 < t_0 < t$ and set $t' = t - t_0$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{q,\delta}(t, \zeta, \cdot)\|_{2;C_{q,\varepsilon}} &\leq \|P_{q,\delta}(t, \zeta, \cdot)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}} = \|P_{q,\delta}(t' + t_0, \zeta, \cdot)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}} \\ &\leq \|P_{q,\delta}(t_0, \zeta, \cdot)\|_{2;C_{q,\delta}} e^{-t'/4} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use the Lemma 7.4. Now using Proposition 3.5 we get a supremum bound uniform in q , namely we can bound the preceding inequality above by

$$\sup_{\substack{\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta} \\ x \in C_{q,\varepsilon}}} P_{q,\delta}(t_0, \zeta, x) \cdot \text{vol}(C_{q,\delta})^{1/2} e^{-(t-t_0)/4} \leq c(t_0) e^{-t/4}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 7.6. *Let M_q be an elliptically degenerating family of compact or non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume which converge to the non-compact hyperbolic surface M_∞ . Let α be given according to the Definition 7.1 above. Then for any $c < \alpha$, there exist a constant C such that the bound*

$$|\text{HTr}K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t) + \text{ETr}K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t) - \text{DTr}K_{M_q}(t)| \leq Ce^{-ct}$$

holds for all $t \geq 0$ and uniformly in q .

Proof. Our proof consists of analyzing the three integrals labeled (I), (II), and (III) coming from Theorem 5.4. For the uniformity of integral (I) we use Lemma 7.2 whereas for the integral (III) we use Proposition 4.1. In both cases, we obtain $O(e^{-ct})$. It remains to consider integral (II). In this direction, let $\{\lambda_{n,q}\}$ be the eigenvalues on M_q which converge to the eigenvalues on M_∞ which are less than $1/4$. Let $\{\phi_{n,q}\}$ denote the corresponding eigenfunctions. Since the small eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions converge through degeneration (see Section 6), we have that the sum

$$\sum_{\lambda_{n,q} < 1/4} e^{-t\lambda_{n,q}} \phi_{n,q}(x) \phi_{n,q}(y)$$

varies continuously in q as well as on the limiting surface M_∞ . Fix $\delta < 1/2$ and let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. For $x, y \in C_{q,\delta}$ and $t > 0$ consider the decomposition

$$K_{M_q}(t, x, y) - K_{C_q}(t, x, y) = u(t, x, y) + v(t, x, y) + \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-t\lambda_{n,q}} \phi_{n,q}(x) \phi_{n,q}(y)$$

where u and v are solutions to the homogeneous heat equation in both (t, x) and (t, y) such that u vanishes on $\partial C_{q,\delta}$ and has appropriate initial values, whereas v vanishes at the initial values and has appropriate values on $\partial C_{q,\delta}$.

Let $\alpha < 1/4$ be such that M_∞ has no eigenvalue in the interval $(\alpha, 1/4)$. From above, we can write

$$K_{M_q}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y) - K_{C_q}(t, x, y) = u(t, x, y) + v(t, x, y)$$

and denote the left hand side of the equation above by $D_q^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)$.

We will analyze first the function $v(t, x, y)$. On the one hand, the function v satisfies the heat equation subject to the Dirichlet condition in the variables (t, x) . For $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, we have that

$$v(t, \zeta, y) = D_q^{(\alpha)}(t, \zeta, y) - u(t, \zeta, y) = D_q^{(\alpha)}(t, \zeta, y) = f_1(t, \zeta)$$

since the u vanishes for $\zeta \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$. Recalling the Remark 3.4, we then realize v as a Poisson kernel, namely

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x, y) &= \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \sigma, x, \zeta) f_1(\sigma, \zeta) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \sigma, x, \zeta) D_q^{(\alpha)}(\sigma, \zeta, y) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, v satisfies the heat equation in the variables (t, y) . Noting that for $\xi \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, we have

$$v(t, x, \xi) = \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \sigma, x, \zeta) D_q^{(\alpha)}(\sigma, \zeta, \xi) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma = f_2(t, \xi),$$

we use the Remark 3.4 again to get

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x, y) &= \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \tau, \xi, y) f_2(\tau, \xi) d\rho(\xi) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} \int_0^\tau \int_{\partial C_{q,\delta}} P_{q,\delta}(t - \tau, \xi, y) P_{q,\delta}(\tau - \sigma, x, \zeta) D_q^{(\alpha)}(\sigma, \zeta, \xi) d\rho(\zeta) d\sigma d\rho(\xi) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then we look at the following integral

$$\int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} v(t, x, x) d\mu(x).$$

Using the supremum norm on the D_q^α as in Lemma 7.3, the L^2 -norm of the Poisson kernel as in Lemma 7.5, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain the bound

$$\int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} v(t, x, y) d\mu(x) \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^\tau \exp(-(t - \tau)/4) \exp(-(\tau - \sigma)/4) \exp(-c\sigma) d\sigma d\tau$$

which is clearly $O(e^{-ct})$.

Next we will look at the function $u(t, x, y)$. We will express u in terms of the Dirichlet heat kernel on the domain $C_{q,\varepsilon}$. For fixed y , the function u satisfies the heat equation in (t, x) with zero boundary condition and initial data given by

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda_{n,q} < 1/4} \phi_{n,q}(x) \phi_{n,q}(y). \quad (7.2)$$

This gives the following integral representation

$$u(t, x, y) = \int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(t, z, x) g(z, y) d\mu(z). \quad (7.3)$$

For fixed x , the integral representation in (7.3) is a solution to the heat equation on $C_{q,\delta}$ in (t, y) . Then consider the function $u(\tau + t, x, y)$ which satisfies the heat equation in (τ, y) , vanishes for $y \in \partial C_{q,\delta}$, and has initial value $u(t, x, y)$. As such, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tau + t, x, y) &= \int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(\tau, w, y) u(t, x, w) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(\tau, w, y) \left(\int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(t, z, x) g(z, w) d\mu(z) \right) d\mu(w). \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Using (7.2) and (7.4), we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tau + t, x, y) &= \sum_{\lambda_{n,q} < 1/4} \int_{C_{q,\delta}} \int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(\tau, w, y) K_{q,\delta}^D(t, z, x) \phi_{n,q}(z) \phi_{n,q}(w) d\mu(z) d\mu(w) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_{n,q} < 1/4} \int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(\tau, w, y) \phi_{n,q}(w) d\mu(w) \int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(t, z, x) \phi_{n,q}(z) d\mu(z). \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

In (7.5), we set $x = y$ and $t = \tau$, so that we can write

$$u(2t, x, x) = \sum_{\lambda_{n,q} < 1/4} \left(\int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(t, z, x) \phi_{n,q}(z) d\mu(z) \right)^2 \quad (7.6)$$

where we used one variable of integration as opposed to two. The next step is to analyze the integral

$$\int_{C_{q,\delta}} u(t, x, x) d\mu(x).$$

Consider an complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_m(x)\}$ of the Dirichlet problem on $C_{q,\delta}$. Then, the heat kernel $K_{q,\delta}^D$ has the following expression

$$K_{q,\delta}^D(t, z, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_m t} \psi_m(z) \psi_m(x).$$

This allows us to write

$$\int_{C_{q,\delta}} K_{q,\delta}^D(t, x, z) \phi_{n,q}(z) d\mu(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} e^{-\lambda_m t} \psi_m(x),$$

where the coefficients $a_{n,m}$ are given by

$$a_{n,m} = \int_{C_{q,\delta}} \psi_m(z) \phi_{n,q}(z) d\mu(z).$$

By the positivity of (7.6), we can write the inequality

$$0 \leq F_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{C_{q,\varepsilon}} u(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{C_{q,\delta}} u(t, x, x) d\mu(x) \leq F_\delta(t).$$

It suffices to show that $F_\delta(t) \leq C \exp(-t/4)$ for some constant C independent of q . Notice that we have the equality

$$F_\delta(t) = \int_{C_{q,\delta}} u(t, x, x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{\lambda_{n,q} < 1/4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m}^2 e^{-\lambda_m t}. \quad (7.7)$$

Clearly, the above function $F_\delta(t)$ is monotone decreasing in t . Let N denote the integer that bounds the number of eigenvalues on M_q which are less than $1/4$; such a universal choice is possible by Buser's theorem (see page 251 of [Ch 84]). From (7.5), we deduce that $F_\delta(0) \leq N$. Since $\lambda_m \geq 1/4$ for all m , we have from (7.7) that $F'_\delta(t) \leq (-1/4)F_\delta(t)$. We then integrate and obtain

$$F_\delta(t) \leq F_\delta(0) e^{-t/4}.$$

This in turn gives

$$0 \leq F_\varepsilon(t) \leq F_\delta(t) \leq F_\delta(0) e^{-t/4} \leq N e^{-t/4}.$$

Thus we have that

$$\int_{C_{q,\delta}} [u(t, x, x) + v(t, x, x)] d\mu(x) = O(e^{-ct})$$

which means that integral (II) has the above bound. $\square$

Acknowledgments. The first author (DG) acknowledges support from a PSC-CUNY grant. The second author (JJ) acknowledges support from grants from the NSF and PSC-CUNY. We would also like to thank the referee for providing a detailed list of comments. They have helped us improve the presentation of paper as well as address some of the finer points.

Bibliography

- [AJS 09] AVDISPHAĆ, M, JORGENSON, J., and SMAJLOVIĆ, L: *Asymptotic behavior of the Selberg zeta functions for degenerating families of hyperbolic manifolds*. Comm. Math. Phys. **310** (2012), no. 1, 217–236.
- [CdV 83] COLIN de VERDIERE, Y.: *Pseudo-laplaciens. II.* (French) [Pseudo-Laplacians. II] Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **33** (1983), no. 2, 87–113.
- [Ch 84] CHAVEL, I.: *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*. Including a chapter by Burton Randol. With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk. Pure and Applied Mathematics, **115**. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, (1984), xiv+362 pp.
- [DJ 98] DODZIUK, J., and JORGENSON, J.: *Spectral asymptotics on degenerating hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1998), no. 643, viii+75 pp.
- [Fr 09] FREIXAS I MONTPLET, G: *An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem for pointed stable curves*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér (4) **42** (2009), no. 2, 335–369.
- [FvP 11] FREIXAS I MONTPLET, G., and VON PIPPICH, A.: In preparation.
- [GJ 16] GARBIN, D., and JORGENSON, J.: *Spectral asymptotics on sequences of elliptically degenerating Riemann surfaces*. In preparation.
- [GJM 08] GARBIN, D., JORGENSON, J., and MUNN, M.: *On the appearance of Eisenstein series through degeneration*. Comment. Math. Helv. **83** (2008), no. 4, 701–721.
- [GvP 09] GARBIN, D., and VON PIPPICH, A.: *On behavior of Eisenstein series through elliptic degeneration*. Commun. Math. Physics **292** (2009), no. 2, 511–528.
- [GT 83] GILBARG, D. A. and TRUDINGER, N. S.: *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **224**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1983), xiii+513 pp.
- [Ha 09] HAHN, T.: *An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem for metrics with cusps*. Ph.D. dissertation, Humboldt University, (2009).
- [He 76] HEJHAL, D. A.: *The Selberg Trace Formula for $PSL(2, R)$, Vol. 1*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **548**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1976), vi+516 pp.
- [He 83] HEJHAL, D. A.: *The Selberg Trace Formula for $PSL(2, R)$, Vol. 2*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1001**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1983), viii+806 pp.
- [He 90] HEJHAL, D. A.: *Regular b -groups, degenerating Riemann surfaces, and spectral theory*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1990), no. **437**, iv+138 pp.
- [He 92] HEJHAL, D. A.: *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian for Hecke Triangle Groups*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1992), no. **469**, vi+165 pp.
- [HJL 95] HUNTLEY, J., JORGENSON, J. and LUNDELIUS, R.: *Continuity of small eigenfunctions on degenerating Riemann surfaces with hyperbolic cusps*. Bol. Soc. Math. Mexicana (3) **1** (1995), no. 2, 119–125.
- [HJL 97] HUNTLEY, J., JORGENSON, J. and LUNDELIUS, R.: *On the asymptotic behavior of counting functions associated to degenerating hyperbolic Riemann surfaces*. J. Func. Analysis **149** (1997), no. 1, 58–82.
- [Ji 94] JI, L.: *Degeneration of pseudo-Laplace operators for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), no. 1, 283–293.

- [JoLu 95] JORGENSEN, J. and LUNDELIUS, R.: *Convergence of the heat kernel and the resolvent kernel on degenerating hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume*. Quaestiones Mathematicae **18** (1995), no. 4, 345–363.
- [JoLu 96] JORGENSEN, J. and LUNDELIUS, R.: *Continuity of relative hyperbolic spectral theory through metric degeneration*. Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 47–81.
- [JoLu 97a] JORGENSEN, J. and LUNDELIUS, R.: *Convergence of the normalized spectral counting functions on degenerating hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume*. J. Func Analysis **149** (1997), no. 1, 28–57.
- [JoLu 97b] JORGENSEN, J., and LUNDELIUS, R.: *A regularized heat trace for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume*. Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 4, 636–659.
- [Ju 95] JUDGE, C.: *On the existence of Maass cusp forms on hyperbolic surfaces with cone points*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), no. 3, 715–759.
- [Ju 98] JUDGE, C.: *Conformally covering cusps to cones*. Conf. Geom. Dyn. **2** (1998), 107–113 (electronic).
- [Ku 73] KUBOTA, T: *Elementary theory of Eisenstein series*. Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, (1973), xi+110 pp.
- [LP 76] LAX, P. and PHILLIPS, R.: *Scattering theory for automorphic functions*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. **87**. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., (1976), x+300 pp.
- [Lu 93] LUNDELIUS, R.: *Asymptotics of the determinant of the Laplacian on hyperbolic surfaces of finite volume*. Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no.1, 212–242.
- [McK 72] McKEAN, H.: *Selberg’s trace formula as applied to a compact Riemann surface*. Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **25** (1972), 225–246.
- [McO 88] McOWEN, R.: *Point singularities and conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), no. 1, 222–224.
- [Mu 83] MÜLLER, W.: *Spectral theory for Riemannian manifolds with cusps and a related trace formula*. Math. Nachr. **111** (1983), 197–288.
- [Ru 74] RUDIN, W.: *Real and complex analysis*. Second edition. McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Düsseldorf-Johannesburg, (1974), xii+452 pp.
- [Sch 06] SCHULZE, M.: *On the resolvent of the Laplacian on functions for degenerating surfaces of finite geometry* J. Funct. Anal. **236** (2006), no. 1, 120–160.
- [Se 56] SELBERG, A: *Harmonic Analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series*. J. Indian Math. Soc. B. **20** (1956), 47–87.
- [Sh 87] SHUBIN, M.: *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*. Translated from the Russian by Stig I. Andersson. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1987), x+278 pp.
- [Ts 97] TSUZUKI, M.: *Elliptic factors of Selberg zeta functions*. Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), no. 1, 29–75.
- [vP 10] VON PIPPICH, A.: *The arithmetic of elliptic Eisenstein series*. Ph.D. dissertation, Humboldt University, (2010).
- [Wi 41] WIDDER, D.: *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 6. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., (1941), x+406 pp.

- [Wo 87] WOLPERT, S. A.: *Asymptotics of the spectrum and the Selberg zeta function on the space of Riemann surfaces*. Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987), no. 2, 283–315.

Daniel Garbin
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Queensborough Community College
222-05 56th Avenue
Bayside, NY 11364
U.S.A.
e-mail: dgarbin@qcc.cuny.edu

Jay Jorgenson
Department of Mathematics
The City College of New York
Convent Avenue at 138th Street
New York, NY 10031
U.S.A.
e-mail: jjjorgenson@mindspring.com